

LECTURE 1 INTRODUCTION TO SPDES

1. KINDS OF QUESTIONS WE WANT TO ASK

What are SPDEs? PDEs + noise;

Why (When) SPDEs are useful?

- an evolutionary process itself is stochastic in nature
- detect “signal” against “random noise”
- data may be missing due to experimental reasons or intrinsically unobservable,
- we want to integrate multiple (heterogeneous) data and incorporate prior knowledge in a flexible and principled way

When solving SPDEs, many issues arise such as

- Do my SPDEs have solutions, in what senses?
- How do I solve these SPDEs efficiently? (Numerical PDEs + stochastic simulation) one important topic is the simulation of noise/Gaussian processes.
- Stability, convergence, computational cost, etc

What we will not address: basic probability as background covered in APMA263, APMA264.

Check APMA 2812B for more theoretical views. (Fridays 12-2:30).

2. REVIEW OF BASIC PROBABILITY

2.1. Gaussian random variables. On a given probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ($\Omega = \mathbb{R}$), if a cumulative distribution function of a random variable X is normal, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{P}(X < x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} dy, \quad \sigma > 0.$$

then the random variable X is called a Gaussian (normal) random variable on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Here X is completely characterized by its mean μ and its standard deviation σ . We denote $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. The probability density function of X is

$$p(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

When $\mu = 0$ and $\sigma = 1$, we call X a standard Gaussian (normal) random variable. If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then $Z = \frac{X-\mu}{\sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, i.e., Z is a standard Gaussian (normal) random variable.

Example 2.1. If X_i are mutually independent Gaussian random variables, then $\sum_{j=1}^N a_j X_j$ is a Gaussian random variable for any $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, if $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$ and $X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ are independent, then

$$\alpha X_1 + \beta X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \alpha^2 \sigma_1^2 + \beta^2 \sigma_2^2).$$

2.2. Gaussian random vectors.

Definition 2.2 (Gaussian random vector). A \mathbb{R}^n -valued random vector $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^\top$ has an n -variate Gaussian distribution with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance matrix Σ if $X = \boldsymbol{\mu} + AZ$ where the matrix A is of size $n \times n$, $\Sigma = AA^\top$, and $Z = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_n)^\top$ is a vector with independent standard Gaussian (normal) components.

When $n = 1$, X is a (univariate) Gaussian random variable. When Σ is non-singular, the probability density of X is

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n |\Sigma|}^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}{2}}.$$

Example 2.3 (Equivalent definition). A set of random variables $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are called jointly Gaussian if $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i$ is a Gaussian random variable for any $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ is a Gaussian random vector if and only if $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are jointly Gaussian.

The correlation of two random variables (vectors) is a normalized version of the covariance, with values ranging from -1 to 1 :

$$\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \frac{\text{Cov}[(X, Y)]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}[X]\text{Var}[Y]}}, \quad \text{Cov}[(X, Y)] = \mathbb{E}[(X - \mathbb{E}[X])(Y - \mathbb{E}[Y])^\top].$$

When $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = 0$, we say X and Y are uncorrelated.

Example 2.4. For a Gaussian random variable $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ and a Bernoulli Z with $\mathbb{P}(Z = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$, X and Z are independent. Show that

- the product ZX is a Gaussian random variable;
- and X and ZX are uncorrelated;
- but X and ZX are not independent.

Check whether $(X, ZX)^\top$ is a Gaussian random vector or not.

Exercise 2.5. Consider a Gaussian vector (X, Y) with X, Y satisfying $\text{Cov}[(X, Y)] = 0$ (uncorrelated). Then X and Y are independent.

Exercise 2.6. Assume that X and Y are Gaussian random variables, then $X+Y$ independent of $X - Y$ implies X independent of Y if and only if (X, Y) is a Gaussian random vector.

Exercise 2.7 (Marginally Gaussian but not Jointly Gaussian). Let X and Y be two Gaussian random variables. Consider the following joint PDF

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi \sigma_X \sigma_Y} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x^2}{\sigma_X^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sigma_Y^2}\right)\right) \mathbf{1}_{\{xy > 0\}}.$$

This joint PDF is not jointly Gaussian.

2.3. Gaussian processes. Gaussian processes on $[0, T]$ can be written in the following form

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} m_k(t) \xi_k,$$

where $\lambda_k \geq 0$, ξ_k 's are i.i.d. standard normal, and m_k 's form a complete orthonormal system (CONS) in $L^2[0, T]$.

Example 2.8. • Gaussian white noise

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} m_k(t) \xi_k, \quad \lambda_k \equiv 1.$$

- *Brownian motion*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t m_k(s) ds \xi_k,$$

Taking the cosine basis, we can write the Brownian motion as

$$(2.4) \quad \sqrt{\frac{1}{T}}t + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{2T}}{(k-1)\pi} \sin\left(\frac{(k-1)\pi}{T}t\right) \xi_k.$$

Remark 2.9. All definitions can be extended to a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d . On an unbounded domain, we may not have the definition via series. Instead, we will need integration with respect to Brownian motions.

Gaussian processes can also be defined through Gaussian random vectors.

Definition 2.10 (Gaussian process). A collection of random variables $X = \{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ is called a Gaussian process, if the joint distribution of any finite number of its members is Gaussian. In other words, a Gaussian process is a \mathbb{R}^d -valued stochastic process with continuous time (or with index) t such that $(X(t_0), X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n))^{\top}$ is a $n+1$ -dimensional Gaussian random vector for any $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ in \mathcal{T} .

The Gaussian process is denoted as $X = \{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{T}}$ where \mathcal{T} is a set of indexes. Here \mathcal{T} can be \mathbb{R} or $[0, \infty)$ while in the first definition it might not be working when $T = \infty$.

Exercise 2.11. Use Definition 2.10 to show that the following process is a Gaussian process. $X(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} m_k(t) \xi_k$, where $\{m_k(t)\}$ is a CONS in $L^2([0, T])$ and ξ_k 's are i.i.d. standard normal.

2.4. Characterization of Gaussian processes. The consistency theorem of Kolmogorov [Karatzas and Shreve, 1991, Theorem 2.2] implies that the finite dimensional distribution of a Gaussian stochastic process $X(t)$ is uniquely characterized by two functions: the mean function $\mu_t = \mathbb{E}[X(t)]$ and the covariance function $C(t, s) = \text{Cov}[X(t), X(s)]$.

Here all Gaussian processes are defined in \mathbb{R}^d , with Euclidean norms.

Definition 2.12 (Stationary processes). A random process with $\mathbb{E}[X^2(t)] < \infty$ is called stationary in the wide sense if its mean $\mu(t)$ and its covariance function $K(t, s)$ do not change under a shift of the parameters.

Stationary kernels depends on the difference of two points:

$$(2.5) \quad K(x, y) = K_s(x - y),$$

The kernel in (2.5) is sometimes called anisotropic stationary kernel (depending on the distance and also the direction).

When $K(x, y)$ depends only on $\|x - y\|$ (the distance between x and y), it is called the isotropic kernel

$$K(x, y) = K_I(\|x - y\|).$$

One important example of practical interest is the Matern kernel:

$$(2.6) \quad M_{\nu}(d) = \sigma^2 \frac{2^{1-\nu}}{\Gamma(\nu)} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{d}{\rho} \right)^{\nu} K_{\nu} \left(\sqrt{2\nu} \frac{d}{\rho} \right), \quad d = \|x - y\|,$$

where $\rho, \nu > 0$ and $K_{\nu}(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind.

When $\nu = 0.5$, it becomes the exponential kernel $\frac{2\sigma^2}{\pi} \exp(-\frac{d}{\rho})$.

When $\nu = \infty$, it becomes a Gaussian kernel.

In Matlab, the Matern kernel with $\nu = 1.5, 2.5$ have been implemented <https://www.mathworks.com/help/stats/kernel-covariance-function-options.html>.

Remark 2.13. All the isotropic kernels are defined on \mathbb{R}^d but sometime it is convenient to define compactly supported kernels: $K_I(\|x - y\|) = 0$ if $\|x - y\| \geq L > 0$. In computation, the covariance matrix $K_I(x_i, x_j)$ will be sparse since when $|i - j|$ is large, the entry $K_I(x_i, x_j) = 0$. For example, a compactly supported Matern kernel is $M_\nu(d) \max(1 - \frac{d}{L})^{\nu_1}, 0$ where $\nu_1 \geq (d + 1)/2$ such that the “truncated” kernel is positive definite.

Remark 2.14. The SPDE $(\kappa^2 - \Delta)^{\alpha/2} X(s) = \dot{W}(s)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$ where $\kappa = \sqrt{2\nu}/\rho$ and $\alpha = \nu + d/2$. Here $\dot{W}(s)$ is the white noise sheet in \mathbb{R}^d . Then there is only one stationary solution $X(s)$, which has Matern kernel and with variance $\frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(\nu + d/2)(4\pi)^{d/2}\kappa^{2\nu}}$.

2.5. Brownian sheets, white noise sheets, cylindrical processes. Let $\{e_k(x)\}$ be CONS in $L^2(D)$ and W_k 's be independent Brownian motions.

$$(2.7) \quad \dot{W}^Q(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{q_k} e_k(x) \dot{W}_k(t), \quad q_k \geq 0.$$

If $\sum_k q_k e_k(x) e_k(y) = Q(x - y)$, then this above process has a covariance $Q(x - y) \delta(t - s)$. When $q_k \equiv 1$, the process $\dot{W}^Q(t, x)$ is called space-time white noise.

In higher dimensions, we can define Brownian motions, Brownian sheet, see Chapter 1 of [Lototsky and Rozovsky, 2017]. The Brownian sheet $W_{s,t}$ on $[0, \infty) \otimes [0, \infty)$ has the kernel $(s \wedge s')(t \wedge t')$.

3. THE COLOR OF NOISES

For the Gaussian process $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} m_k(t) \xi_k$, the decay of λ_k with k characterizes of smoothness of the process. When λ_k is proportional to $k^{-\alpha}$, where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, the noise is called $1/f^\alpha$ noise.

For stationary Gaussian processes, we can characterize its smoothness through its covariance kernel and power spectrum. Recall the Fourier Transform in 1D

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) e^{i\omega t} dt$$

The inverse Fourier transform is defined as

$$(3.2) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

TABLE 1. A short table of Fourier transform

$f(t)$	\hat{f}	
1	$2\pi\delta(\omega)$	
$\delta(t)$	1	
$e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-\sigma^2\omega^2/2}$	
$e^{-a t }$	$\frac{2a}{a^2 + \omega^2}$	$a > 0$
$\frac{(\alpha t)^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu)2^{\nu-1}} K_\nu(\alpha t)$	$\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1/2)\alpha^{2\nu}}{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\nu)(\alpha^2 + \omega^2)^{\nu+1/2}}$	$\alpha, \nu > 0, t \geq 0$

The auto-covariance function of a stationary process $X(t)$ (in the wide sense) is defined by $K(s) = \mathbb{E}[X(t-s)X^\top(t)]$ if $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = 0$ (otherwise use $X(\cdot) - \mathbb{E}[X(\cdot)]$ in place of $X(\cdot)$.)

Theorem 3.1 (Wiener–Khinchin theorem). *If the auto-covariance function of a stationary process $X(t)$ exists and is absolutely integrable, then there exists a monotone function $F(\omega)$ such that*

$$(3.3) \quad K(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega t} dF(\omega).$$

Here F is called power spectral distribution. If F is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then we denote the power spectral density (power spectrum) by f , i.e., $f(\omega) d\omega = F(\omega) d\omega$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad K(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\omega t} f(\omega) d\omega, \quad \text{and} \quad f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega s} K(s) ds.$$

The power spectrum is actually a good characterization of smoothness of the stationary process $X(t)$. In fact, if $f(\omega) \sim \omega^{-\alpha}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$, the process $X(t)$ is called $\frac{1}{f^\alpha}$ noise. When $\alpha = 2$, it is called Brownian noise; When $\alpha = 1$, it is called pink noise; When $\alpha = 0$ ($f(\omega) \equiv 1$), it is called white noise.

Exercise 3.2. *A covariance function is positive definite if the corresponding covariance matrix from any finite time instants is positive definite.*

Exercise 3.3. *Show that when $K(s)$ is positive definite, then the power spectral density f is unique.*

In the next lecture, we will discuss a precise definition of smoothness of stochastic processes.

4. WHY RANDOM AND BROWNIAN MOTION (WHITE NOISE)?

Consider the following simple population growth model

$$(4.1) \quad dy(t) = k(t)y(t) dt, \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

Here $y(t)$ is the size of population and $k(t)$ is the relative growth rate. In practice, $k(t)$ is not completely known and is disturbed around some known quantity $\bar{k}(t)$:

$$k(t) = \bar{k}(t) + \text{'perturbation (noise)'}$$

Here $\bar{k}(t)$ is deterministic and is usually known while no exact behavior exists of the perturbation (noise) term. The uncertainty (lack of information) about $k(t)$ (the perturbation term) is naturally represented as a stochastic quantity, denoted as $v(t, \omega)$. Here ω represents the randomness.

To address the dependence on ω , we then write the ordinary differential equation as

$$(4.2) \quad dy(t, \omega) = k(t, \omega)y(t, \omega) dt^1, \quad y(0) = y_0.$$

Here y_0 can be a random variable but we take $y_0 = 1$ (deterministic) for simplicity.

4.0.1. *Solutions to (4.2).* When $\bar{k}(t) = 0$, $k(t, \omega) = v(t, \omega)$ may take the following form:

- $v(t, \omega) = \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ is a standard Gaussian random variable. The covariance (in this case variance) of $v(t, \omega)$ is 1.
- $v(t, \omega)$ where the covariance function of $V(t)$ is $\exp(-\frac{|t-s|}{A})$, with A the correlation time.
- $v(t, \omega) = W(t, \omega)$ is a standard Brownian motion where the covariance function is $\min(t, s)$.
- $v(t, \omega) = \dot{W}(t, \omega)$ is the white noise and the covariance function is $\delta(t - s)$.

¹We assume that $k(t, \omega)$ is sufficiently smooth in t . It will be clear why some smoothness is needed when we introduce the stochastic product and calculus later on.

When $k(t, \omega) =: \dot{W}(t, \omega)$, Equation (4.2) is understood in the Stratonovich sense

$$(4.3) \quad dy = y \circ dW(t), \quad y(0) = y_0$$

so that one can apply the classical chain rule. Here the circle means Stratonovich product.

The exact solution to Equation (4.2) is $y = y_0 \exp(K(t))$, where $K(t) = \int_0^t k(s) ds$ is again Gaussian with mean zero. It can be readily checked by the definition of moments that

- $K(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t^2)$ when $k(t, \omega) =: \xi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$;
- $K(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 2At + 2A^2(\exp(-\frac{t}{A}) - 1))$ when $k(t, \omega)$ has the two-point correlation function of $\exp(-\frac{|t_1 - t_2|}{A})$.
- $K(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{t^3}{3})$ when $k(t, \omega) = W(t, \omega)$ is a standard Brownian motion.
- $K(t) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t)$ is the Brownian motion when $k(t, \omega) = \dot{W}(t, \omega)$ is the white noise.

Then the moments of the solution y are, for $m = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(4.4) \quad \mathbb{E}[y^m(t)] = y_0^m \exp(\frac{m^2}{2} \sigma^2),$$

where $\sigma^2 = t^2, 2At + 2A^2(\exp(-\frac{t}{A}) - 1), \frac{t^3}{3}, t$ for the listed processes, respectively. These results are useful and can be used in checking the accuracy of different numerical schemes applied to Equation (4.2).

APPENDIX A. NON-STATIONARY COVARIANCE KERNELS

Locally stationary kernels are defined by

$$(A.1) \quad K(x, y) = K_1(\frac{x+y}{2})K_2(x-y).$$

Here $K_1 \geq 0$ and K_2 is a stationary kernel. When K_1 is a constant, the kernel is stationary and when K_2 is a constant, the kernel is called **exponentially convex kernel**. For example, $\exp(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2}) = \exp(-2\frac{(x+y)^2}{2}) \exp(-2\frac{(x-y)^2}{2})$ is a locally stationary kernel. Also, $K_1(x+y)\delta(x-y)$ is a locally stationary kernel (the noise is called a locally stationary white noise).

There are non-stationary kernels $K(x, y)$ not functions of the difference $x - y$. Examples of non-stationary kernel

- polynomial kernels $K(x, y) = (x^\top y + a)^p, p > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$.
- separable non-stationary kernels: $K(x, y) = K_1(x)K_2(y)$, where K_1 and K_2 are stationary kernels. In this case, a huge computational cost can be saved as the covariance matrix will be rank-1.

Definition A.1 (Stationary reducible kernels). *A nonstationary kernel is stationary reducible if there exists a bijective deformation Φ such that $K(x, y) = K_s^*(\Phi(x) - \Phi(y))$, where K_s^* is a stationary kernel.*

Similarly, we can define locally stationary reducible kernels.

REFERENCES

- [Karatzas and Shreve, 1991] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. E. (1991). *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, volume 113 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition.
- [Lototsky and Rozovsky, 2017] Lototsky, S. V. and Rozovsky, B. L. (2017). *Stochastic partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, Cham.