Advance Discrete Planning (2) Practical issues

Jane Li

Assistant Professor Mechanical Engineering Department, Robotic Engineering Program Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Quiz (10 pts)

- A search-algorithm prioritizes and expands the nodes in its open list items by their key values. How to compute the key values for
	- (2 pts) Dijkstra algorithm
	- (2 pts) A* algorithm
	- (3 pts) $ARA*$
	- (3 pts) $ANA*$

RBE 550 – Motion Planning – Instructor: Jane Li, Mechanical Engineering Department & Robotic Engineering Program - WPI 2/12/2018 5

Advanced Discrete Motion Planning

Overview of Advance Discrete Motion Planning

- More on search algorithms
	- Toward optimal and quicker solutions
	- Variants of A*
- Practical issues
	- Case study Practical search techniques for autonomous driving
- Other advanced topics
	- Roadmaps for planning in dynamic environment
	- Learning search via imitation

Practical search techniques for autonomous driving

2007 DARPA Urban Challenge

- Task
	- Autonomous driving in unknown environment
	- Re-plan online while incrementally building an obstacle map

IBEO laser

SICK LDRS laser

Stanford Racing Team Junior in Urban Challenge (DARPA 2007)

2007 DARPA Urban Challenge

2007 DARPA Urban Challenge

- Capability complex general path-planning tasks
	- Navigating parking lots
	- Executing U-turns
	- Dealing with blocked roads and intersections
- **Performance**
	- Typical full-cycle re-planning times of 50-300ms on a modern PC

- Robot control and trajectories must be continuous
	- Continuous-variable optimization problem
- Existing search algorithms are fast in discrete state space
	- Produce non-smooth paths
	- Do not satisfy vehicle's non-holonomic constraints
- Other approaches?

Related work

- Forward search in continuous coordinates
	- Guarantees kinematic feasibility
	- Need an efficient heuristic to quide expansion
- Non-linear optimization in control space
	- Fast convergence is difficult due to local minima

Solution - Two-phase motion planning

Phase 1

- Heuristic search in continuous coordinates to find a kinematically feasible solution \rightarrow do not care optimality
- Phase 2
	- Use gradient descent to find at least the locally optimal solution
	- Global optimal is possible

Hybrid-State A* Search

- Compared to A*
	- Search space (x, y, θ)
	- Associates with each grid cell a continuous 3D state of the vehicle

RBE 550 – Motion Planning – Instructor: Jane Li, Mechanical Engineering Department & Robotic Engineering Program - WPI 2/12/2018 16

• Features

- Associates costs with cell centers
- Only visit states at cell centers

Field D*

Features

- Associate costs with cell corners
- Allow arbitrary linear paths from cell to cell

• [Ferguson and Stentz 2005]

Hybrid-State A* Search

Features

- Associate a continuous state with each cell
- Score of the cell = the cost of its associated continuous state

Performance

- Guaranteed to find the minimal-cost?
	- No
- Guarantee to be drivable?
	- Yes
- Sub-optimality w.r.t. global optimal solution?
	- In practice, hybrid-A* is close to global optimal solution \rightarrow refine in Phase 2

- Can maneuver in tight spaces?
	- Like what?
- Plan forward and reverse motion?
	- Yes

Choice of Heuristic is Critical

- Which nodes to be expanded first
- Bad heuristics leads to wasted expansion

- $h_1(s)$ = Non-holonomic without obstacles
	- Ignores obstacles
	- Consider non-holonomic constraints
	- Admissible?
- Use the max of h1(s) and Euclidian distance Benefits?
	- Prune search branches that approach the goal with the wrong headings
	- Computed offline

- $h_2(s) =$ dual of $h_1(s)$
	- Consider obstacles
	- Ignore non-holonomic constraints
	- Admissible?
- Benefit?
	- Discover all U-shaped obstacles dead-ends in 2D
	- Guide the more expensive 3D search away from these areas

Analytic Node Expansions

Analytic Node Expansions

- Driving motion primitives
	- A node in the tree is expanded by **simulating a kinematic model** of the car (using a particular control action) for a small period of time
	- The resulting Reed-Shepp path is then checked for collision against the current obstacle map

Analytic Node Expansions

- Yellow-green path
	- Search tree branches (i.e., short incremental expansions)
- Pink path
	- Reed-Shepp path leading towards the goal

Cost function is Critical

- Design a cost function that yields the desired driving behavior
- Criteria??
	- Penalizing proximity to obstacles \rightarrow Potential field
	- Create high-potential areas in narrow passages \rightarrow cannot pass

Voronoi field

• Rescale the field based on the workspace geometry

$$
\rho_V(x,y) = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + d_{\mathcal{O}}(x,y)}\right) \left(\frac{d_V(x,y)}{d_{\mathcal{O}}(x,y) + d_V(x,y)}\right) \frac{(d_{\mathcal{O}} - d_{\mathcal{O}}^{max})^2}{(d_{\mathcal{O}}^{max})^2}, \quad d_{\mathcal{O}} \leq d_{\mathcal{O}}^{max}
$$

Otherwise, $\rho_V(x,y) = 0$

Voronoi field

• Rescale the field based on the workspace geometry

Voronoi Field

Phase 2 - Local Optimization and Smoothing

Improve path length and solution smoothness

Phase 2 - Local Optimization and Smoothing

Improve path resolution by interpolation

• [1] Dolgov, Dmitri, Sebastian Thrun, Michael Montemerlo, and James Diebel. "Practical search techniques in path planning for autonomous driving." Ann Arbor 1001, no. 48105 (2008): 18-80.

Student talk - Baskaran Prakash

RBE 550 – Motion Planning – Instructor: Jane Li, Mechanical Engineering Department & Robotic Engineering Program - WPI 2/12/201837

