Configuration Space

#### Jane Li

#### Assistant Professor

# Mechanical Engineering & Robotics Engineering http://users.wpi.edu/~zli11

## Potential field



550

## Attractive & repulsive fields

$$
F_{\text{att}} = -\nabla \phi_{\text{att}} = -k_{\text{att}}(x - x_{\text{goal}})
$$

$$
F_{\text{rep}} = -\nabla \phi_{\text{rep}} = \begin{cases} k_{\text{rep}} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho_0} \right) \frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} & \text{if } \rho \le \rho_0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \rho > \rho_0 \end{cases}
$$

- 
- *x* : position of the robot
- $\rho$ : distance to the obstacle
- $\rho_0$ : distance of influence



[Khatib, 1986]

# Recap

We learned about how to plan paths for a point



RBE 550 MOTION PLANNING BASED ON **DR. DMITRY BERENSON**'S RBE 550

- Real-world robots are **complex**, often **articulated** bodies
	- What if we invented a **space** where the robots could be treated as **points**?

# Definition

- C**onfiguration** a specification of the position of **every** point on the object.
	- A configuration q is usually expressed as a vector of the **Degrees of Freedom (DOF)** of the robot

 $q = (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n)$ 

- **Configuration space C** the set of all possible configurations.
	- A configuration *q* is a point in *C*

# Degree of Freedom – Examples



# Dimension of Configuration Space

- Dimension of a Configuration Space
	- The **minimum** number of DOF needed to specify the configuration of the object completely.



# Example – A Rigid 2D Mobile Robot

- 3-parameters:  $q = (x, y, \theta)$  with  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ .
	- 3D configuration space
	- Topology:  $SE(2) = R^2 \times S^1$  (a 3D cylinder)





# Configuration Space for Articulated Objects

- Articulated object  $-A$  set of rigid bodies connected by joints
- For articulated robots (arms, humanoids, etc.), the DOF are **usually** the joints of the robot
- Exceptions?
- Topology of two-link manipulator?
	- With joint limits?



RBE 550 MOTION PLANNING BASED ON **DR. DMITRY BERENSON**'S RBE 550



# Paths and Trajectories in C-Space

• Path

• A continuous curve connecting two configurations  $q_{start}$  and  $q_{goal}$ 

 $\tau : s \in [0,1] \rightarrow \tau(s) \in C$ 

Such that  $\tau(0) = q_{start}$  and  $\tau(1) = q_{goal}$ .

- Trajectory
	- A path parameterized by time

 $\tau : t \in [0, T] \rightarrow \tau(t) \in C$ 

#### 550

# Obstacles in C-space



# Obstacles in C-space

- (Collision)-free configuration *q*
	- Robot placed at *q* has no intersection with any obstacle in the workspace
- Free Space  $C_{\text{free}}$ 
	- A subset of *C* that contains all free configurations
- Configuration space obstacle  $-C_{obs}$ 
	- A subset of *C* that contains all configurations where the robot collides with **workspace obstacles** or with **itself** (self-collision)







- A simple example
	- 2D translating robot
	- Polygonal obstacle in task space

# Example – Disc in 2D workspace



**configuration space (2D)**

### Minkowski Sum

# $A \oplus B = \{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$



## Minkowski Sum

# $A \oplus B = \{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$



# Minkowski Sum



# Minkowski Sum



Modified based on Slides by Prof. David Hsu, University of Singapore Example – 2D Robot with Rotation robot workspace θ reference directi reference point *x y*



# Minkowski Sum

 Can Minkowski Sums be computed in higher dimensions efficiently?



**Find a configuration that keeps the knot interlocked but without colliding with the cubic frame?**

Computing the Minkowski sum of **non-convex** polyhedra – **Time Complexity**:  $O(n^3m^3)$ 

# Why need to study the topology of C-space?

Because in topology, a coffee mug can be equivalent to a donut

Two paths  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  with the **same endpoints** is

# **Homotopic**

If one path can be deformed into **continuously** deformed into the other





# Homotopic paths

- A homotopic class of paths
	- All paths that are homotopic to one another.



# Homotopic paths

- A cylinder without top and bottom
- $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are homotopic
- $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_3$  are not homotopic



# Connectedness of C-Space

- *C* is **connected**
	- If every two configurations can be connected by a path.
- *C* is **simply-connected**
	- if any two paths connecting the **same** endpoints are **homotopic**.
	- Examples:  $R^2$  or  $R^3$
- Otherwise *C* is multiply-connected.
	- Can you think of an example?



Distance in C-space

A distance function *d* in configuration space **C** is a function

$$
d:(q,q')\in C^2\to d(q,q')\geq 0
$$

Such that

- $d(q, q') = 0$  if and only if  $q = q'$ ,
- $d(q, q') = d(q', q)$ ,
- $d(q, q') \leq d(q, q'') + d(q'', q'')$

### Discussion

 Do we need to have an explicit representation of C-obstacles to do path planning?

- Do we need a specialized distance metric in C-space to do path planning?
	- Can we use Euclidian distance between configurations?
	- Can we use Euclidian distance for all the problems?





#### Distance metric

L1-norm (Manhattan distance) – follow the grid, like a taxi driver

$$
d_1(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})=\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\|_1=\sum_{i=1}^n|p_i-q_i|,
$$

L2-norm (Euclidian distance)

$$
\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})=\mathrm{d}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{p})=\sqrt{(q_1-p_1)^2+(q_2-p_2)^2+\cdots+(q_n-p_n)^2}
$$

• 
$$
L_{\infty}
$$
-norm (chessboard distance)

$$
D_{\mathrm{Chebyshev}}(p,q):=\max_i (|p_i-q_i|).
$$



#### Read

- $\bullet~$  Principles: Appendix H  $-$  Graph representation and basic search
- HW1 is posted
	- Due 2/1 at 12 noon



# Examples in  $R^2 \times S^1$

- $\bullet$  Consider R<sup>2</sup> x S<sup>1</sup>
	- $q = (x, y, \theta), q' = (x', y', \theta')$  with  $\theta, \theta' \in [0, 2\pi)$
	- $\bullet \ \alpha = \min \{ |\theta \theta' | , 2\pi |\theta \theta' | \}$

$$
d(q,q') = \max_{a \in A} ||a(q) - a(q')||
$$
  
\n
$$
= \max_{a \in A} \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + \alpha r_a}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + \alpha \max_{a \in A} r_a}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + \alpha r_{\max}}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sqrt{(x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 + \alpha r_{\max}}
$$

