This Lecture will be recorded!!!

Welcome to

CS 3516: Computer Networks

Prof. Yanhua Li

Time: 9:00am –9:50am M, T, R, and F Zoom Lecture Fall 2020 A-term

Some slides are originally from the course materials of the textbook "Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach", 7th edition, by Jim Kurose, Keith Ross, Addison-Wesley March 2016. Copyright 1996-2017 J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved.

Quiz 4 on Thursday

domain name registration, DNS hierarchy and Local DNS, DSN message and resource records (RRs) format.

Lab 2 due on Monday 9/21

Available on class website

Project I due on Thursday 9/17

Local DNS, Web Cache, Aut. DNS

2.6 P2P applications

I. P2P vs Client&Server

- 2. Peer-to-Peer Networks
- Napster
- Gnutella
- BitTorrent

Client-server vs P2P architecture





Application Layer 2-10

Chapter 2: outline

2.6 P2P applications

- I. P2P vs Client&Server
- 2. Peer-to-Peer Networks
- Napster
- Gnutella
- BitTorrent

Peer-to-Peer Networks: How Did it Start?

- A killer application: Napster from 1999
 - Free music over the Internet
- Key idea: share the content, storage and bandwidth of individual (home) users



Model

- Each user stores a subset of files
- Each user has access (can download) to files from all users in the system

Challenges

- Scale: up to hundred of thousands or millions of machines
- Dynamicity: machines can come and go any time

Main Challenge

Find where a particular file is stored



Napster: Example



Peer-to-Peer Networks: Napster

- Napster history: the rise
 - January 1999: Napster version 1.0
 - May 1999: company founded
 - September 1999: first lawsuits
 - 2000: 80 million users
- Napster history: the fall
 - Mid 2001: out of business due to lawsuits
 - 2003: growth of pay services like iTunes
- Napster history: the resurrection
 - 2003: Napster reconstituted as a pay service
- Now
 - Music focused online service, for more details: <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Napster</u>



Shawn Fanning, Northeastern freshman

Napster: Example



- Client searches on a title or performer
- Client requests the file from the chosen supplier

Napster: Limitations of Central Directory

- Single point of failure
- Performance bottleneck
- Copyright infringement

File transfer is decentralized, but locating content is highly centralized

So, later P2P systems were more distributed

Peer-to-Peer Networks: Gnutella

- Gnutella history
 - 2000: J. Frankel & T. Pepper released Gnutella
 - Soon after: many other clients (e.g., Morpheus, Limewire, Bearshare)
 - 2001: protocol enhancements, e.g., "ultrapeers"

- Query flooding
 - Join: contact a few nodes to become neighbors
 - Publish: no need!
 - Search: ask neighbors, who ask their neighbors
 - Fetch: get file directly from another node



Gnutella

- Ad-hoc topology
- No guarantees on recall



 Queries are flooded for bounded number of hops (TTL)

Gnutella: Protocol



Gnutella: Pros and Cons

Advantages

- Fully decentralized, Highly robust
- Disadvantages
 - Not scalable; the entire network can be swamped with request
 - Search time may be quite long

Chapter 2: outline

2.6 P2P applications

P2P vs Client&Server
Peer-to-Peer Networks

Napster

Gnutella
BitTorrent

P2P design challenges

- ✤ Large file
- Free Riding
- Scalability
 - Publish/Download

BitTorrent: Simultaneous Downloading

- Divide large file into many pieces (256Kbytes)
 - Replicate different pieces on different peers
 - A peer with a complete piece can trade with other peers
 - Peer can (hopefully) assemble the entire file
- Allows simultaneous downloading
 - Retrieving different parts of the file from different peers at the same time

BitTorrent Components

- Seed
 - Peer with entire file
 - Fragmented in pieces
- Leech
 - Peer with an incomplete copy of the file

Torrent file

- Passive component
- Stores summaries of the pieces to allow peers to verify their integrity
- Tracker
 - Allows peers to find each other
 - Returns a list of random peers





















"US" A few questions: 1) which peer to talk to; 2) which pieces to request first; 3) how to motivate other peers to contribute



Free-Riding Problem in P2P Networks

- Vast majority of users are free-riders
 - Most share no files and answer no queries
 - Others limit # of connections or upload speed
- A few "peers" essentially act as servers
 - A few individuals contributing to the public good
 - Making them hubs that basically act as a server
- BitTorrent prevent free riding
 - Allow the fastest peers to download from you
 - Occasionally let some free loaders download

BitTorrent: requesting, sending file chunks

requesting chunks:

- at any given time, different peers have different subsets of file chunks
- periodically, Alice asks each peer for list of chunks that they have
- Alice requests missing chunks from peers, rarest first

sending chunks: tit-for-tat

- Alice sends chunks to those four peers currently sending her chunks at highest rate
 - other peers are choked by Alice (do not receive chunks from her)
 - re-evaluate top 4 every 10 secs
- every 30 secs: randomly select another peer, starts sending chunks
 - "optimistically unchoke" this peer
 - newly chosen peer may join top 4



BitTorrent: tit-for-tat

(I) Alice "optimistically unchokes" Bob

- (2) Alice becomes one of Bob's top-four providers; Bob reciprocates
- (3) Bob becomes one of Alice's top-four providers



Chapter 2: summary

our study of network apps now complete!

- application architectures
 - client-server
 - P2P
- application service requirements:
 - reliability, throughput, delay, security
- Internet transport service model
 - connection-oriented, reliable: TCP
 - unreliable, datagrams: UDP

- specific protocols:
 - HTTP
 - DNS
 - P2P: BitTorrent
 - SMTP, POP, IMAP

Questions?

Application Layer 2-38