

Matrix decompositions.

Have already seen examples.

For square A :

$$A = M \Lambda M^{-1} \text{ for diagonalizable } A$$

$$A = U \Lambda U^T \text{ for symmetric } A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$A = U \Lambda U^* \text{ for general normal } A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

$$A = M J M^{-1}, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_r & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{J}_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{Jordan form})$$

For general $m \times n A$:

$$A = Q R \quad (\text{QR decomposition}), \quad Q^T Q = I_m \text{, } m \times m, \quad \text{and upper triangular } R.$$

Develop additional decompositions.

Singular value decomposition (SVD).

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, with no particular relationship between m and n . (Development for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ similar.)

Form: $A = U \Sigma V^T$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ has the form

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_r & 0 \\ & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$$

where $r = \text{rank } A$ and all entries not shown are zero.

Terminology: $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ are the singular values of A .

The columns of V are right singular vectors of A .

The columns of U are left singular vectors of A .

The singular values of A are unique; the singular vectors are not.

Derivation. $A^T A$ is symmetric, so $\sigma(A) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Also, $\langle v, A^T A v \rangle = \langle A v, A v \rangle = \|A v\|^2 \geq 0$, so
 $A^T A$ is positive semidefinite. In particular,
if $A^T A v = \lambda v$, then $\lambda \langle v, v \rangle = \langle v, A^T A v \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda \geq 0$.

Recall from lecture 12 that $\text{r}(A) = \text{r}(A^T A)$. This
implies that $\text{rank}(A^T A) = \text{rank}(A) = r$.

It follows that $A^T A$ has r non-zero eigenvalues,
and we can write

$$A = V \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r \\ & & 0 & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^T$$

for orthogonal $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r > 0$.

Set $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^{-1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

For $i = 1, \dots, r$ we have (with $v_i = i^{\text{th}}$ column of V)

$$(A^T A) v_i = \sigma_i^{-2} v_i \Rightarrow A A^T (A v_i) = \sigma_i^{-2} (A v_i),$$

so $A v_i$ is an eigenvector of $A A^T$ with eigenvalue σ_i^{-2} .

Also, since $\|v_i\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A v_i\|^2 &= \langle v_i, A^T A v_i \rangle = \sigma_i^{-2} \|v_i\|^2 = \sigma_i^{-2} \\ &\Rightarrow \|A v_i\| = \sigma_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $u_i = A v_i / \sigma_i$. Then $\|u_i\| = 1$ and $A v_i = \sigma_i u_i$.

For $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ and $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \langle \sigma_i u_i, \sigma_j u_j \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \langle A v_i, A v_j \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \langle v_i, A^T A v_j \rangle = \frac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_i} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we have orthonormal (u_1, \dots, u_r) such that

$$A(v_1, \dots, v_r) = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that if $r < n$, then v_{r+1}, \dots, v_n are null-vectors of A ($Av_j = 0$ for $j = r+1, \dots, n$), so

$$AV = (u_1, \dots, u_r) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \underbrace{\qquad}_{r \times (n-r)}$$

If $r < m$, then we can extend $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$. Setting $U = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$, we have

$$AV = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} = U\Sigma \quad \underbrace{\qquad}_{(m-r) \times n}$$

which gives the SVD

$$A = U\Sigma V^T.$$

Note: We began with $A^T A = V \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r^2 \end{pmatrix} V^T$.

We could just as well have begun with

$A A^T = U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^T$. Indeed, with $A = U\Sigma V^T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} AA^T &= U\Sigma V^T V \Sigma^T U^T = U\Sigma\Sigma^T U^T \\ &= U \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r^2 \end{pmatrix} U^T \quad \underbrace{\qquad}_{m \times m} \end{aligned}$$

Note: $A = U\Sigma V^T \Rightarrow A^T = V\Sigma^T U^T$

Application to linear least-squares problems.

Suppose we want to minimize $\|b - Ax\|^2$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $m \geq n$. Have seen approaches based on the normal equations and on QR decomposition. The SVD offers still another approach.

Suppose $A = U\Sigma V^T$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|b - Ax\|^2 &= \|b - U\Sigma V^T x\|^2 = \|U^T b - \Sigma V^T x\|^2 \\ &= \|c - \Sigma y\|^2\end{aligned}$$

where $c = V^T b$ and $y = V^T x$. If $\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then

$$\|b - Ax\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i - \sigma_i y_i)^2 + \sum_{i=r+1}^m c_i^2$$

This minimized when $y_i = c_i / \sigma_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, and the minimal value is $\sum_{i=r+1}^m c_i^2$.

If A has full rank n ($r=n$), then $y = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 / \sigma_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n / \sigma_n \end{pmatrix}$ is uniquely determined and so is

$$x = Vy = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i / \sigma_i) v_i$$

If A is rank-deficient ($r < n$), then $y_i = c_i / \sigma_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$, but y_{r+1}, \dots, y_m can be arbitrarily specified. Then

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i / \sigma_i) v_i + \sum_{i=r+1}^m y_i v_i$$

for arbitrary y_{r+1}, \dots, y_m .

$$\text{Note that } \|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i/\sigma_i)^2 + \sum_{i=r+1}^m y_i^2,$$

so $x = \sum_{i=1}^r (c_i/\sigma_i) v_i$ is the unique minimum-

norm solution, called the pseudo-inverse solution.

$$\text{Define } \Sigma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \frac{1}{\sigma_r} & \\ & & & 0 & \dots \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

and

$$A^+ = V \Sigma^+ U^T, \text{ the pseudo-inverse of } A.$$

Since $C = U^T b$, the pseudo-inverse solution is just $x = A^+ b$.

In practice, the SVD and QR approaches are the two main ways of solving linear least-squares problems. They provide about the same accuracy, provided the QR decomposition uses Householder transformations. SVD solution is somewhat more expensive. Its main advantage is that it makes rank deficiency easy to detect and to treat by using the pseudo-inverse solution. Sometimes, even in the full rank case, it is useful to reduce computation costs by using a truncated SVD, in which

$$A \approx V_k \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} V_k^T$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $m \times k \quad k \times k \quad m \times k$

for some $k \leq r$. This is often done in image compression.

Schur decomposition.

Return to the square case: $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.

(must consider complex matrices even if A is real, so just take $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.)

Form: $A = U R U^*$, where $U \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary and $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is upper (right) triangular.

Note: $A - \lambda I = A - \lambda U U^* = U(R - \lambda I)U^*$, so $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(R)$. It follows that the eigenvalues of A are the diagonal entries of R .

Derivation. We will show $U^*AU = R$ by induction on n . Trivial for $n=1$. Suppose that for some $n \geq 1$, every $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix has a Schur decomposition, and suppose $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. We know A has an eigenvalue λ , and there is an eigenvector u , such that $Au = \lambda u$, and $\|u\| = 1$. Extend $\{u\}$ to an orthonormal basis $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ for \mathbb{C}^n . Set $\tilde{U} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Then

$$A\tilde{U} = (\lambda u_1, \dots, \lambda u_n) = (\lambda u_1, \lambda u_2, \dots, \lambda u_n)$$

$$= \tilde{U} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ 0 & B & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \end{pmatrix}, \quad B \sim (n-1) \times (n-1)$$

With the inductive hypothesis, we have $\tilde{U}^* B \tilde{U} = \tilde{R}$ for unitary \tilde{U} and upper triangular \tilde{R} . Then $B = \tilde{U} \tilde{R} \tilde{U}^*$ and

$$\tilde{U}^* A \tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ 0 & \tilde{R} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \tilde{U}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

which yields $U^*AU = R$ for

$$U = \tilde{U} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{T} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R = \begin{pmatrix} S & X & -X \\ 0 & \tilde{R} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Schur decomposition is often useful in evaluating analytic functions of matrices. If $A = URU^*$, then $A^k = \underbrace{URU^*URU^* \dots URU^*}_{\text{k-times}} = UR^kU^*$.

So if $f(z) = \sum a_k z^k$ is analytic in a domain including $\sigma(A)$, then we have convergent power series

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k A^k = U \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k R^k \right) U^*.$$

Hessenberg decomposition.

Return to real case.

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, (Similar for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$.)

Form: $A = QHQ^T$, where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is orthogonal and H is upper Hessenberg.

Similar to Schur form. Disadvantage: H is upper-Hessenberg instead of upper triangular.

Advantage: Q and H are real if A is, and they can be computed directly, whereas the Schur decomposition can only be approximated iteratively.

The Hessenberg decomposition can still be used in many ways that the Schur decomposition can.

$$\text{See: } A - \lambda I = Q^T H Q - \lambda Q Q^T = Q (H - \lambda I) Q^T,$$

$\text{so } \sigma(A) = \sigma(H).$

This is useful in computing eigenvalues: First determine H ; then compute the eigenvalues of H (much cheaper).

Also, $A^k = Q H^k Q^T$, so if $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ is analytic on $\sigma(A)$ we have

$$f(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k A^k = Q \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k H^k \right) Q^T$$

Derivation.

First step: Choose $H_1 = I - 2u_1 u_1^T$, $\|u_1\|=1$ and $u_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix}$,
so that

$$H_1 A = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & 0 & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

(same first row as A but modified rows 2 through n)

Have

$$H_1 A H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & 0 & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

(same 1^{st} column as $H_1 A$ but modified columns 2 through n)

Second step: Choose $H_2 = I - 2u_2 u_2^T$, $\|u_2\|=1$ and $u_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ x \end{pmatrix}$

such that

$$H_2 H_1 A H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & \dots & * \\ * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & \dots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

(same first two rows, modified rows 3 through n).

Then $H_2 H, AH, H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x & x & x & \equiv \\ 0 & x & x & \equiv \\ 0 & 0 & x & \equiv \\ 0 & 0 & x & \equiv \end{pmatrix}$

(same first two columns, modified columns 3 through n).

Continue, obtain

$$H_{n-1} \dots H, AH, \dots H_{n-1} = \begin{pmatrix} x & \equiv \\ 0 & \ddots \\ 0 & x & x \end{pmatrix} = H$$

or $A = QHQ^T$, where $Q = H, \dots H_{n-1}$.