

Normal operators and the spectral theorem.

Streamline notation: Tv instead of $T(v)$.

Continue assuming throughout that V is an inner product space and that $T: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear transformation on V .

Def 13.1 T is normal if $T^*T = TT^*$.

Clearly, self-adjoint transformations are normal.

Recall: $S_\lambda = \{v \in V : Tv = \lambda v\}$ for $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$.

The following extends to normal transformations a crucial result for self-adjoint transformations.

Lemma 13.2: If T is normal and $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then S_λ and $S_\lambda^\perp$ are invariant under both T and T^* .

Proof: $T(S_\lambda) \subseteq S_\lambda$; Claim:

$T^*(S_\lambda) \subseteq S_\lambda$: If $v \in S_\lambda$, then $Tv = \lambda v \Rightarrow T^*Tv = \lambda T^*v$

$\Rightarrow T T^*v = \lambda T^*v \Rightarrow T^*v \in S_\lambda$.

$T(S_\lambda^\perp) \subseteq S_\lambda^\perp$: If $w \in S_\lambda^\perp$, then $\forall v \in S_\lambda$, $\langle v, Tw \rangle = \langle T^*v, w \rangle = 0$ since $T^*v \in S_\lambda$.

$T^*(S_\lambda^\perp) \subseteq S_\lambda^\perp$: If $w \in S_\lambda^\perp$, then $\forall v \in S_\lambda$, $\langle v, T^*w \rangle = \langle Tv, w \rangle = \langle \lambda v, w \rangle = \lambda \langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

Th. 13.3 (Spectral Theorem): Suppose that V is finite-dimensional. Then V has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of T if and only if T is normal.

Prf.: $\Rightarrow$: Suppose $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis.
Then T has spectral representation

$$Tv = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tv, w \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_i \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i, w \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle \langle u_i, w \rangle \\ &= \langle v, \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \langle w, u_i \rangle u_i \rangle = \langle v, T^* w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } T^* w = \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \langle w, u_i \rangle u_i.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } T^*Tv &= \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \langle Tv, u_i \rangle u_i \\ &= \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \left\langle \sum_j \lambda_j \langle v, u_j \rangle u_j, u_i \right\rangle u_i \\ &= \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \left(\sum_j \lambda_j \langle v, u_j \rangle \langle u_j, u_i \rangle \right) u_i \\ &= \sum_i \bar{\lambda}_i \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i = \sum_i |\lambda_i|^2 \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i \\ &= (\text{similarly}) TT^*v. \end{aligned}$$

$\Leftarrow$: Exactly the same inductive proof as when $T^*=T$.

The result is trivial when $\dim V = 1$. Suppose that, for some $n > 1$, the result holds for spaces of dimension k , $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Suppose $\dim V = n$. We know that

T has an eigenvalue λ . If $\dim \mathcal{L}_\lambda = n$ ($T = \lambda I$), then $\mathcal{L}_\lambda = V$, and the result is immediate since every finite-dimensional inner-product space has an orthonormal basis. If $\dim \mathcal{L}_\lambda < n$, then $\dim \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp = n - \dim \mathcal{L}_\lambda = k$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and

$S_\lambda^\perp$ has an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$. Let $\{u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis for S_λ . Then $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for V .

Explore further properties of normal transformations.

Lemma 13.4: If T is normal, then:

(1) $\mathcal{N}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T^*)$

(2) If $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is complete, then $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{R}(T^*)$.

(3) If V is finite-dimensional and $Tv = \lambda v$ and $Tw = \mu w$ for $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.

Proof: (1) $v \in \mathcal{N}(T) \Leftrightarrow Tv = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle Tv, Tv \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T^*Tv, v \rangle = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow \langle T^*v, v \rangle = 0 \Leftrightarrow \langle T^*v, T^*v \rangle = 0$
 $\Leftrightarrow T^*v = 0.$

(2) $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T^*)^\perp = \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp = \mathcal{R}(T^*)$. (The 1st and 3rd equalities are from Theorem 11.9.)

(3) We saw this property for self-adjoint T . The proof in the normal case is a bit more involved.

Preliminaries: Let $T_\lambda = T - \lambda I$. See: T_λ is normal since T is. Also, S_μ is invariant under T and T^* and, hence, under T_λ and T_λ^* . In fact, $T_\lambda: S_\mu \rightarrow S_\mu$ is 1-1, since for $v \in S_\mu$, $T_\lambda v = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu v = Tv = \lambda v \Leftrightarrow v = 0$. Then on $\underline{S_\mu}$, $\mathcal{R}(T_\lambda^*) = \mathcal{N}(T_\lambda)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = S_\mu$, i.e., $T_\lambda^*: S_\mu \rightarrow S_\mu$ is onto.

Now suppose $v \in S_\lambda$ and $w \in S_\mu$. Choose $\hat{w} \in S_\mu$ such that $T_\lambda^* \hat{w} = 0$. Then

$$T_\lambda v = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = \langle T_\lambda v, \hat{w} \rangle = \langle v, T_\lambda^* \hat{w} \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$$

Corollary 13.5: If T is normal and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is complex, then $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$. In particular, this holds when V is finite-dimensional.

Remark: If $\mathcal{R}(T) = \mathcal{N}(T)^\perp$, then $Tv = w$ has a solution $\Leftrightarrow w \in \mathcal{R}(T) \Leftrightarrow \langle w, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in \mathcal{N}(T) \Leftrightarrow \langle w, z \rangle = 0$.

Implications in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$

Say $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is normal $\Leftrightarrow AA^T = A^T A$
and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ " " $\Leftrightarrow AA^* = A^* A$

Symmetric and Hermitian matrices are normal. So one shows symmetric ($A^T = -A$) and skew-Hermitian ($A^* = -A$) matrices.

For a normal matrix, we have $A = U \Lambda U^*$ for unitary U and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix}$. Note that Λ and U may be complex even if A is real. Also, A is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow \Lambda$ is real. If A is real symmetric, then U is also real.

The relation $A = U \Lambda U^*$ gives the spectral representation

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i u_i^*.$$

For linear systems: A normal $\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp$.
Then

$$Ax = b \text{ has a solution } \Leftrightarrow b \in \mathcal{R}(A)^\perp \\ \Leftrightarrow \langle b, z \rangle = 0 \forall z \in \mathcal{N}(A) \Leftrightarrow Az = 0$$

Earlier, we discussed diagonalizability of matrices. This is nice, but having a spectral representation is even nicer.

Example: ODE initial-value problem

$$y' = Ay, \quad y(0) = y_0$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

If A is diagonalizable, say $A = M \Lambda M^{-1}$ for diagonal Λ , then the solution is

$$y(t) = M e^{\Lambda t} M^{-1} y_0$$

where $e^{\Lambda t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_1 t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\lambda_n t} \end{pmatrix}$.

If A is normal and we have spectral representation

$$Av = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i,$$

then the solution is

$$y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m e^{\lambda_i t} \langle y_0, u_i \rangle u_i.$$

Positive-definite transformations.

Say a self-adjoint T is positive definite if $\langle v, Tv \rangle > 0$ whenever $v \neq 0$.

Example: If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, then $A^T A$ appearing in the normal equation of least-squares is symmetric always and positive definite (SPD) if A has full rank n .

If T is self-adjoint, then T has spectral representation

$$Tv = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i,$$

where $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors. Then

$$\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |\langle v, u_i \rangle|^2,$$

so T is positive definite $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_i > 0$ for each i .

Prop.: If T is self-adjoint and positive definite, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_T$ defined by $\langle v, w \rangle_T = \langle v, Tw \rangle$ is an inner product.

Def.: We have $\langle v, v \rangle_T = \langle v, Tv \rangle > 0$ if $v \neq 0$. The other inner-product properties are trivial to verify.

Suppose $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is SPD. Then $A = U \Lambda U^T$, where U is orthogonal and $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$ with $\lambda_i > 0$ for each i . Set

$$\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } A^{\frac{1}{2}} = U \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} U^T.$$

$$\text{See: } A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} = U \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} U^T U \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} U^T = U \Lambda U = A.$$