Available online at



ejme.cardiffuniversitypress.org



ISSN 2753-3921 European Journal of Microwave Energy 01 (2024) 044–050

Machine-Learning Optimization of Energy Efficiency in Microwave Applicators with Plasma

Williams, C.E.^{a,b}, Murphy, E.K.^c, Yakovlev, V.V.^a

^aCenter for Industrial Mathematics and Statistics, Department of Mathematical Sciences, WorcesterPolytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA ^bDepartment of Physics, WorcesterPolytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA ^cThayer School of Engineering, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

Abstract

With a widening range of applications of microwave plasma in processing technologies, there is a demand of computer-aided design of efficient and controllable applicators containing microwave plasma. The use of modern computational tools here is limited due to a principal uncertainty in data on the plasma medium for electromagnetic simulations. In this paper, based on simplified characterization of microwave plasma, we propose to embed a model of an applicator with plasma in a machine-learning optimization procedure aiming to maximize energy efficiency of the system. To demonstrate functionality of the procedure featuring the dynamic training of the decomposed radial-basis-function network, we use a model of a conventional cylindrical applicator with a coaxial quartz vessel containing plasma. The model is built in the finite-difference time-domain simulator *QuickWave*. For a neutral gas chosen, the procedure finds the geometry of the applicator and the plasma frequency satisfying the optimization goal. The network is readily reconfigurable, so the procedure can be easily reformulated for estimating geometrical parameters of other systems or physical parameters of the plasma and thus be practical in the design of microwave systems in scenarios lacking adequate data on plasma medium.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Cardiff University Press. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of Cardiff University <u>https://doi.org/10.18573/ejme.2</u>

Received: 5th Sep 23; Accepted: 22nd Apr 24; Published: 5th June 2024

Keywords: Electric conductivity, Collision frequency, Neutral gas, Optimization, Plasma frequency, RBF network.

Introduction

Microwave (MW) plasma has shown a significant contribution to applications in processing technology [1] with demonstrable impact on the efficiency and quality of various processes. Examples include production of synthetic diamonds [1-3], surface processing for semiconductor manufacturing (deposition, etching, cleaning) [1], [4], plasma-based decomposition of various substances (including CO_2 [5] and water [6]), etc. However, advancement of these applications is constrained by the challenges associated with development of efficient and controllable MW applicators for industrial use [1].

While computer simulations aiding in the design of such applicators have been reported [2], [4, 7-12], the use of advanced electromagnetic (EM) modeling remains limited due to the absence of adequate input data for plasma in the system models. The direct measurement of complex permittivity of plasma is challenging [13, 14], and its properties are specific to the MW system in which it is ignited and maintained. Hence, the complex permittivity of plasma is mostly estimated by theoretical calculation [13, 15]. Recently, a simple physics-driven approach to characterization of MW plasma for EM modeling using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) technique was proposed in [16, 17]. In this approach, plasma is represented by the electric conductivity, which is determined by the plasma frequency f_p and the collision frequency γ . Using this approach, in which f_p , and γ are estimated based on typical values of the underlying physical parameters of plasma, modeling of a conventional MW applicator affirms well-known behavior of the electric field in presence of plasma [16, 17].

Further developing this work and embracing an apparent uncertainty in input data on plasma medium in EM models, in this paper we introduce a machine-learning (ML) approach to computational study of MW applicators with plasma. In the first implementation of the concept, an FDTD model is embedded in an ML optimization procedure, which allows one to find, for a particular gas, the geometry of the applicator and plasma frequency ensuring optimal performance. Optimality here means energy efficiency, and, accordingly, for the considered one-port applicator [16, 17], the goal of optimization is a value of the reflection coefficient $|S_{11}|$ below some

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence.





threshold at an operating frequency f_0 . The procedure is based on the technique [18] featuring constrained optimization response surface (CORS) sampling [19] in the dynamic training of the decomposed radial-basis-function (RBF) network. To show functionality of the procedure, we use a model of a conventional MW applicator [16, 17 a cylindrical cavity with a coaxial thin-wall quartz vessel containing uniformly distributed plasma. An input rectangular waveguide is considered in two different orientations, and the model is built in the 3D FDTD EM simulator OuickWave [20]. We show that, for a given neutral within the system, the ML procedure finds the geometry of the applicator and the plasma frequency satisfying the optimization goal.

Plasma Model and an ML Procedure

Representation of MW plasma medium in an EM model requires knowledge of its electric conductivity σ [1, 21]:

$$\sigma = \omega \varepsilon_o \varepsilon'', \tag{1}$$

where

$$\varepsilon'' = \frac{\omega_p^2 \gamma}{\omega(\omega^2 + \gamma^2)},\tag{2}$$

 $\omega_p = 2\pi f_p$, $\omega = 2\pi f$, *f* is the frequency of the EM field, and ε_o is permittivity of vacuum. From (1), (2), it is seen that characterization of MW plasma may be reduced to determination of the plasma frequency and the collision frequency [16, 17]. Except the two fundamental constants, the mass of an electron (*m*) and the charge of an electron (*q*), the former is conditioned by electron density *N* [1, 22]:

$$\omega_p = \sqrt{\frac{Nq^2}{m\varepsilon_o}}.$$
 (3)

While *N* is a function of physical system parameters such as power and pressure, the exact dependencies are system specific and typically not known [16, 17]. Determining electron density is not a trivial task. To this end, researchers employ special techniques (such as versions of perturbation method [15, 23] and power measurement [24]) to directly find it or, when possible and sufficient, use some estimations (including based on corresponding modelling data [4]).

The collision frequency depends on the neutral gas density n_g , the average electron velocity v_e , and the cross section of electron-neutral particle collision σ_{eN} [25-27]:

$$\gamma = n_g v_e \sigma_{eN}. \tag{4}$$

While n_g also depends on physical parameters of a MW system [16, 17], in [4] it is calculated using the



Fig. 1. Architecture of a decomposed RBF network with η hidden neurons.

Inert gas	He	Ne	F	0	
r (pm) [28]	31	38	42	48	
γ (GHz)	0.248	0.373	0.456	0.595	
Inert gas	N	Ar	Kr	Xe	
r (pm) [28]	56	71	88	108	
γ(GHz)	0.810	1.303	2.001	3.014	

 Table 1. Calculated values of frequency of electron collisions for typical inert gases.

ideal gas equation and, for low pressure plasma, is determined to be $1.94 \cdot 10^{22}$ (1/m³). v_e is found in [4] using a Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution, determined to be $1.06 \cdot 10^6$ (m/s). The electron temperature is measured by Langmuir probes. Adopting these values for (4), one can use the calculated atomic radii *r* of the neutral gases [28] to estimate σ_{eN} by

$$\sigma_{eN} = \pi r^2. \tag{5}$$

This implies that f_p and γ are involved with (1)-(2) very differently: while the plasma frequency is a parameter which may vary in a wide range, the collision frequency could be seen as dictated by the gas used in the system. For a set of freely typical inert gases corresponding values of γ are collected in Table 1.

It follows that, in contrast to the dielectrics, ε'' and σ here are not invariant properties of the medium, but characteristics partially defined by physical parameters of a MW system (such as power, pressure, and gas flow rate (if applicable)) in which the plasma is ignited and maintained. It may be, therefore, feasible to consider the underlying physical parameters of MW plasma in some plausible ranges and, as such, include this information in ML schemes for computational analysis and CAD.

In this paper we introduce one possible implementation of this approach as an optimization procedure in which plasma frequency is considered a design variable. The decomposed RBF network $F: X \rightarrow Y$ (Fig. 1) follows the concept and methodology of the neural network optimization of MW systems





that is described in [18] and uses CORS sampling [19]. It works with input vectors of design variables $X_i = [G_1, G_2, ..., G_N, f_p]$, where G_j (j = 1, ..., N) are geometrical parameters of the modeled applicator, and i = 1, ..., P, where P is the number of points (input-output pairs) of modeling data. The network output vectors $Y_k = [|S_{11}|(1), ..., |S_{11}|(K)]$ are obtained by taking K equally spaced values of frequency characteristics of the reflection coefficient $|S_{11}|$ over a specified frequency range. The network uses linear RBFs, i.e.

$$\Phi_{i,j} = \left\| X_i - \mathcal{C}_j \right\|,\tag{6}$$

where $\Phi_{i,j}$ is the system matrix and C_j is the *j*th of η centers. The RBF centers are chosen to match the sampled points, which results in zero training error $(\eta = P)$, as the training matrix is full rank. The network is coupled with a linear model, and the weights are constructed by solving the corresponding linear system. Choosing RBF centers equal to the training points is a convenient programming solution, known to be practical for dimensional input domains that are not excessively high [29]. To reduce concerns for overfitting, similarly to in [18], a regularization term, $\lambda = 10^{-3}$, is included when solving the linear system. The optimal geometrical parameters of the system G_j and the plasma frequency f_p are, therefore, determined for the chosen gas.

In the optimization procedure implemented in a MATLAB code training/testing data for the network (X and Y) are generated by *QuickWave*. A MW plasma applicator to be optimized is represented by a fully parameterized model. Following [18], the procedure is realized in two separate modules responsible for database (DB) generation and network operations. At the first stage, the initial DB is created. The user selects the number of FDTD time-steps necessary to converge to steady state and the stopping condition limiting optimization operations at the second stage (i.e., either maximum CPU time, or maximum DB size). The user also enters the intervals of variation for all the design variables and the number of subdivisions.

At the second phase, the user sets optimization constraints – the frequency of interest and the upper tolerance for the value of $|S_{11}|$ at that frequency. Next, the user launches the iterative procedure of dynamic training/optimization [18]. Immediately after the initial database is constructed, the weights of the RBF network are computed, and the resulting RBF network is minimized using standard minimition techniques using a nonlinear constraint and a parameter β ($0 \le \beta \le 1$). Choosing various values of β ensures the procedure does not got trapped within local minima. For each β value, a minimum (*i*) is determined, (*ii*) simulated using *QuickWave*, and (*iii*) added to an updated database. The procedure essentially continues in this fashion; iteratively building a larger and larger database and a more and more accurate RBF network to approximate the true mapping. The procedure outputs the results as they are produced.

One particular advantage of using neural networks in this context is also worth mentioning. In [19], an RBF network efficiently worked within a general global optimization algorithm designed to solve expensive black-box functions. The crucial aspect of the approach to microwave optimization [18] that employed the technique [19] was in the use of a global optimization to achieve a similar goal - to limit the number of expensive full-wave FDTD analyses of microwave systems. In contrast to neural networks which have recently become common in a variety of deep learning and AI algorithms, the approach [18] and its replication in the present paper for modeling microwave systems with plasma are much simpler and, in both implementations, they appear to be convenient practical tools for controlling an FDTD solver, processing the data, and finding an optimum with small FDTD data sets.

Optimization Results

In the illustrative optimization described in this section the applicator in Fig. 2 is characterized by constant parameters t = 1.5 mm, l = 220 mm, and L = 240 mm and is fed by WR284 oriented either cross-sectionally, or longitudinally. The CAD goal is to find an inert gas, the values of plasma frequency and of two geometrical parameters ($G_1 = D$ and $G_2 = d$) that provide a minimum value of $|S_{11}| \le 0.1$ at $f_0 = 2.45$ GHz. In the plasma model [16, 17], an inert gas defines the collision frequency, so in this illustration we consider eight typical gases with their values of γ , as specified in Table 1. This reduces the analysis to eight optimization problems with three design variables, D, d, and f_p , for which the following specifications are applied:

 $90 \le D \le 120 \text{ mm},\tag{7}$

$$40 \le d \le 70 \text{ mm},\tag{8}$$

$$0.4 \le f_p \le 8.0 \text{ GHz.} \tag{9}$$

The bounds for f_p in (9) correspond to the values of plasma density of the order of $10^{16} \div 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$ and, as such, are consistent with the value of n_g accepted in (4) as a parameter of a low-pressure plasma.

The procedure starts with an initial database of 27, by choosing 3 subdivisions for each variable, and the stopping criterion is set for the database size reaching 150 points. The FDTD model features a fine uniform mesh with 1.5 mm cells. When run on an advanced Windows workstation, the steady state is reached in less than 2 min.







Fig. 2. 2D (a) and 3D (b), (c) views of the modelled cylindrical cavity with a coaxial quartz vessel (containing plasma) and an input rectangular waveguide oriented longitudinally (b) and cross-sectionally (c) oriented rectangular waveguide.

Optimized frequency responses of $|S_{11}|$ are shown in Figs. 3-4 for the systems with the longitudinal and cross-sectional orientations of WR284, respectively.

Each figure contains eight curves for the considered inert gases as well as examples of three nonoptimized characteristics for comparison. In 7 runs out of 16, the optimal solutions satisfying the goal $|S_{11}| < 0.1$ were found; generation of the DB points and training of the network were over then before reaching the stopping criterion. For the other 9 cases, with 150-point DB's, the goal was not reached, but the best solutions were close to it ($|S_{11}| = 0.1 \div 0.22$). Moreover, for cases 2, 3, 4 in the system in Fig. 2(a) and for cases 1, 2, 3 in the system in Fig. 2(b), the optimal values of the design variables were found at the upper limits of those intervals. The results imply that it might be possible to reach "better" values of $|S_{11}|$ within some wider intervals for the design variables. The fact that the desirable values of $|S_{11}| <$ 0.1 were not reached in those 9 cases is an indicator



Fig. 3. Optimized $|S_{11}|$ characteristics for 8 neutral gases and corresponding optimal geometry of the system and plasma frequency (Table 2); 3 non-optimized characteristics are for He (a), N (b), Xe (c) and random values of *D*, *d*, and f_p ; longitudinal orientation of WR248.

Curve	Gas	D	d	f_p	$ S_{11} $
		(mm)	(mm)	(GHz)	
1	He	99.1	68.7	5.22	0.036
2	Ne	105.8	70.0	6.15	0.073
3	F	106.4	70.0	5.81	0.107
4	0	100.7	70.0	6.29	0.036
5	Ν	101.2	68.8	5.94	0.070
6	Ar	106.8	47.5	2.45	0.058
7	Kr	96.5	69.4	6.45	0.225
8	Xe	101.3	44.5	5.70	0.116

Table 2. Geometrical parameters of the systems (*D* and *d*) with a longitudinal orientation of WR248 and the values of plasma frequency leading to the obtained $|S_{11}|$ minima at 2.45 GHz (Fig. 3) for 8 neutral gases.

that in the chosen solution space those desirable values cannot be achieved.

The shapes of the non-optimized curves (obtained from randomly chosen values of the design variables) are fully consistent with the results in [17], where these characteristics are exemplified and appear to be strongly dependent on γ and f_p . By including these curves in Figs. 3-4, we demonstrate that, for the considered microwave system, the optimized geometry results in significant improvement of the theorized energy efficiency. In accordance with Fig 4, improvement can be estimated as going from 35-50% to 95-99%.

Computationally, the developed procedure demonstrates excellent performance by quickly converging to the "best solutions". This is

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence.



47



EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF

ENERGY

Fig. 4. Optimized $|S_{11}|$ characteristics for 8 neutral gases and corresponding optimal geometry of the system and plasma frequency (Table 3); 3 non-optimized characteristics are for He (a), N (b), Xe (c) and random values of *D*, *d*, and f_p ; cross-sectional orientation of WR248.

Curve	Gas	D	d	f_p	$ S_{11} $
		(mm)	(mm)	(GHz)	
1	He	106.3	54.5	8.0	0.170
2	Ne	105.8	54.6	8.0	0.179
3	F	105.5	54.7	8.0	0.217
4	0	114	46.8	2.47	0.008
5	Ν	112.9	58.1	2.09	0.032
6	Ar	102.7	47.4	1.92	0.010
7	Kr	97.5	52.5	1.41	0.036
8	Xe	116.7	62.2	1.65	0.036

Table 3. Geometrical parameters of the systems (*D* and *d*) with cross-sectional orientation of WR248 and the values of plasma frequency leading to the obtained $|S_{11}|$ minima at 2.45 GHz (Fig. 4) for 8 neutral gases.

Gas	He	Ne	F	0	Ν	Ar	Kr	Xe
M_1	77	82	151	61	131	62	151	151
M_2	151	151	151	52	151	48	82	52

Table 4. Number of points M_i in the final FDTD modeling databases required for finding the solutions in Fig. 3 with Table 2 (i = 1) and in Fig. 4 with Table 3 (i = 2).

apparently ensured by the underlying ML algorithm [18] known for quick finding local optima (satisfying the constraints) with small FDTD modeling data sets. Table 4 shows that, when dealing with three design variables of the MW applicator in Fig. 2, the procedure needs, depending on the gas, 48 to 151 DB points to find characteristics of $|S_{11}|$ corresponding to energy efficiency at least 95%.

Discussion and Conclusions

The ML procedure employing the CORS sampling in the dynamic training of the decomposed RBF network has been introduced for determining parameters of MW applicators with plasma that lead to a minimum reflection (or maximum energy efficiency). The procedure demonstrates its robustness and computational effectiveness when working with an FDTD model of a simple conventional system but is expected to successfully optimize other complex applicators characterized by more geometrical parameters as well. The FDTD model behind the data used for optimization, however, relies on the simplified characterization of conductivity of plasma. Consequently, each optimized frequency characteristic of the reflection coefficient (such as in Figs. 3-4) is found for a concrete gas and certain geometrical parameters of the applicator and plasma frequency.

An RBF network used in the core of the optimization here provides certain benefits (e.g., a zero-training error discussed above), yet its overall performance is outside of the scope of this study as somewhat secondary factor to the overall CORS RBF algorithm. The main advantage of the latter is in efficient handling of expensive black-box functions [19] and the ability to sharply reduce the number of full-wave FDTD analyses [18].

The results in the previous section emphasize the benefits of using neural networks in the key pursuits of this study. The optimization technique with a simple RBF network embedded into it [18] excels here in reducing the number of full-wave FDTD analyses of microwave systems with plasma. In response to the principal uncertainty of data on the plasma medium, these results can be seen as a favorably argument in the use of a ML algorithm. It is apparent that the approach used in the presented illustrative optimization could potentially aid in the design of microwave applicators with plasma.

More specifically, the reported optimization results also suggest the following. When using the proposed optimization technique in CAD of microwave applicators with plasma, one can either be satisfied with the obtained local minimum, i.e., the geometry corresponding to the value of $|S_{11}|$ achieved with the considered solution space, or expand it aiming to find another optimum providing alternative geometry for a lower value of the reflection coefficient. The exploration for better results can also include introduction of additional design variables G_j ; e.g., in the systems considered here this is encompassed by L and l.

While, in practice, the geometry may be directly adopted for a prospective physical prototype with a chosen gas, realization of optimal value of f_p





depends on special factors (such as the ability to measure (and ultimately control) electron density) and remains outside the scope of this study. Here we are concerned with the concept of an ML approach to computational study of MW applicators with plasma given the intrinsic uncertainty in characterization of plasma in EM models. Our optimization procedure is based on representation of plasma conductivity via γ and f_p and, since γ is inherent to the gas (and hence can be considered as given), we are only concerned with an optimal value of f_p . The RBF network can be easily adapted to alternative more sophisticated physics-driven plasma models or to the models based on data collected from measurements (and processed by ML procedures). Overall, with the other uncertainties in input data for the EM models, our optimization procedure can be instructive in determining the operational bounds of applicators with MW plasma and therefore assist in their CAD.

The principal uncertainty of input data on the plasma medium also implies that simulation results produced by the plasma model [16, 17] are, by definition, more or less inadequate for their traditional use. However, they are not intended for conventional direct comparison with measurements. Rather they are more appropriate for estimating characteristics of microwave applicators and computational studies of their possible behaviour in the initial stages of applicator design (presuming that experimental tuning of their performance will follow).

In these circumstances, the optimization technique outlined in this paper is to help handle this data uncertainty. We have described a procedure seeking best geometrical parameters and plasma frequency, but the problem can be easily reformulated (and the network straightforwardly reconstructed) to focus on other parameters of interest. With data on some plasma or system parameters more defined than others, the ML procedure could be used to approximate such parameters or find them belonging to some plausible ranges. As an element of applicable CAD, this could help shorten the timeframe between design and efficiently operating physical prototype.

Acknowledgments

The work of C.E.W. was partially supported by the 2022 WPI Summer Training in Arts & Sciences Research (STAR) Fellowship.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Bardos, L.; Barankova, H. Microwave Plasma Sources and Methods in Processing Technology. IEEE Press/Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2022.

2. Silva, F.; Hassouni, K.; Bonnin, X.; Gicquel, A. Microwave engineering of plasma-assisted CVD reactors for diamond deposition. J. of Physics: Condensed Matter 2009, 21, 364202. https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/36/364202

3. Thomas, E.L.H.; Gines, L.; Mandal, S.; Klemencic, G.M.; Williams, O.A. A simple, space constrained NIRIM type reactor for chemical vapour deposition of diamond. AIP Advances 2018, 8, 035325. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5009182

4. Pauly, S.; Schulz, A.; Walker, M.; Gorath, M.; Baumgärtner, K.; Tovar, G. Modeling and experimental study of remote microwave plasma source for high-rate etching. Chemie Ingenieur Technik 2022, 94, 410-416. https://doi.org/10.1 002/cite.202100144

5. Ong, M.Y.; Nomanbhay, S.; Kusumo, F.; Show, P.L. Application of microwave plasma technology to convert carbon dioxide (CO₂) into high value products: A review. J. of Cleaner Production 2022, 336, 130447. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.202 2.130447

6. Amaro-Gahete, J.; Romero-Salguero, F.J.; Garcia, M.C. Modified surfatron device to improve microwave-plasma-assisted generation of RONS and methylene blue degradation in water. Chemosphere 2024, 349, 140820. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.140820. PMid:3804 0253

7. Shivkumar, G.; Tholeti, S.S.; Alrefae, M.A.; Fisher, T.S., Alexeenko, A.A. Analysis of hydrogen plasma in a microwave plasma chemical vapor deposition reactor. J. of Applied Physics 2016, 119, 113301. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4943025

8. Latrasse, L.; Radoiu, M.; Nelis, T.; Antonin, O. Self-matching plasma sources using 2.45 GHz solidstate generators: microwave design and operating performance. J. Microwave Power and Electromag. Energy 2017, 51, 237-258. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 08327823.2017.1388338

9. Xiao, W.; Huang, K.; Zhang, W.; Lin, Y. Modeling of argon plasma excited by microwave at atmospheric pressure in ridged waveguide. IEEE Trans. on Plasma Science 2016, 44, 1075-1082. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2016.2568266

10. Zhang, W.; Tao, J.; Huang, K.; Wu, L. Numerical investigation of the surface wave formation in a microwave plasma torch. IEEE Trans. on Plasma Science 2017, 45, 2929. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2017.2759501

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Licence.







11. Shen, Q., Huang R., Xu, Z., Hua, W. Numerical 3D modeling: microwave plasma torch at intermediate pressure. Applied Sciences 2020, 10, 5393. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10155393

12. Gulec, A.; Bozduman, A.F.; Hala, A.M. Atmospheric pressure 2.45-GHz microwave helium plasma. IEEE Trans. Plasma Science, 2015, 43, 786-790. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2015.2403280

13. Wang, G.; Pan, H.; Lai, S.; Zhou, Y.; Wu, L.; Zhu, H.; Yang, Y. Dynamic measurement of relative complex permittivity of microwave plasma at atmospheric pressure. Processes 2021, 9, 1812. https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9101812

14. Li, L.; Hu, H.; Tang, P.; Chen, B.; He, Z. A microwave reflection method to determine the complex permittivity of time-varying plasma. In Proc. 2018 IEEE Intern. Symp. on Antennas and Propagation, Boston, MA, June 2018, 978-1-5386-7102-3. https://doi.org/10.1109/APUSNCURSIN RSM.2018.8608620

15. Xiao, W., Liao, Y., Huang, K. Measuring electron density of atmospheric microwave plasma jet by microwave perturbation method. IEEE Trans. on Instrum. and Meas. 2022, 71, 8005109. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIM.2022.3193173

16. Williams, C.E.; Yakovlev, V.V. Characterization of microwave plasma in electromagnetic modeling for processing applications. In Proc. 57th IMPI's Microwave Power Symp., Denver, CO, June 2023, 114-116.

17. Williams. C.E.; Yakovlev. V.V. Characterization of microwave plasma in electromagnetic modeling for processing applications. J. Microwave Power and Electromag. Energy, 2023, under review.

18. Murphy, E.K.; Yakovlev, V.V. Neural network optimization of complex microwave structures with a reduced number of full-wave analyses. Intern. J. of RF & Microwave Computer-Aided Engng 2011, 21, 279-287. https://doi.org/10.1002/mmce.20514

19. Regis, R.G.; Shoemaker, C.A. Constrained global optimization of expensive black box functions using radial basis functions. J Global Optimization 2005, 31, 153–171. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10898-004-0570-0

20. QuickWave, QWED Sp. z o.o., 1998-2023, http://www.qwed.eu.

21. Grant, F.A. Use of complex conductivity in the representation of dielectric phenomena. J. App. Phys. 1958, 29, 76-80. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.172 2949

22. Toyoda, H., Microwave Plasma. In RF Power Semiconductor Generator Application in Heating and Energy Utilization; Horikoshi, S., Serpone, N., Eds.; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2020; pp. 181-194. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3548-2 8

23. Mirhosseini, F.; Colpitts, B. Plasma actuator electron density measurement using microwave perturbation method. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2014, 105, 031908. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4890616

24. Xiao, W.; Liao, Y.; Wang, F.; Zhang, Z.; Zhu, H.; Yang, Y.; Huang, K. Determining electron density of atmospheric microwave air plasma torch by microwave power measurement. IEEE Trans. on Plasma Science 2022, 50, 1781-1789. https://doi.org/10.1109/TPS.2022.3173372

25. Heald, M.A.; Wharton, C.B. Plasma Diagnostics with Microwaves. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 1965. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.304 7729

26. Möller, W. Fundamentals of Plasma Physics, University of Technology Dresden, 2006.

27. Green, K.M.; Borrás, M.C.; Woskov, P.P.; Flores, G.J.; Hadidi, K.; Thomas, P. Electronic excitation temperature profiles in an air microwave plasma torch, IEEE Trans. on Plasma Science 2001, 29, 399-406. https://doi.org/10.1109/27.922753

28. Clementi, E.; Raimondi, D.L.; Reinhardt, W.P. Atomic screening constants from SCF functions. II. Atoms with 37 to 86 electrons. J. of Chemical Physics 1967, 47, 1300–1307. https://doi.org/10.10 63/1.1712084

29. Kirby, M. Geometric Data Analysis. New York, Wiley, 2001.

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/