## Systemic Risk Measures for Network Models

#### ZACH FEINSTEIN

Electrical and Systems Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis

Joint work with Birgit Rudloff (Princeton University) and Stefan Weber (Leibniz Universität Hannover)

Eastern Conference on Mathematical Finance March 18-20, 2016

- **2** Models of Financial Contagion
- **3** Measures of Systemic Risk
- **4** Computation
- **5** Numerical Examples
- 6 Orthant risk measures

### • Goal of talk:

- Systemic risk measures that are based on macroprudential objectives;
- but enable at the same time capital regulation on the level of firms (i.e. obtain vectors of capital charges);

### • Goal of talk:

- Systemic risk measures that are based on macroprudential objectives;
- but enable at the same time capital regulation on the level of firms (i.e. obtain vectors of capital charges);
- provides a framework that is model independent;

### • Goal of talk:

- Systemic risk measures that are based on macroprudential objectives;
- but enable at the same time capital regulation on the level of firms (i.e. obtain vectors of capital charges);
- provides a framework that is model independent;
- methods for their implementation.

### • Goal of talk:

- Systemic risk measures that are based on macroprudential objectives;
- but enable at the same time capital regulation on the level of firms (i.e. obtain vectors of capital charges);
- provides a framework that is model independent;
- methods for their implementation.
- Similar approaches taken in:
  - BIAGINI, FOUQUE, FRITTELLI & MEYER-BRANDIS (2015)
  - Armenti, Crepey, Drapeau & Papapantoleon (2015)

# Models of Financial Contagion

### Network Model with Local Interactions Only: EISENBERG & NOE (2001)

- n financial firms
- Nominal liability matrix:  $(\bar{p}_{ij})_{i,j=0,1,2,\dots,n}$
- Total liabilities:  $\bar{p}_i = \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{p}_{ij}$
- Relative liabilities:

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\bar{p}_{ij}}{\bar{p}_i} & \text{if } \bar{p}_i > 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } \bar{p}_i = 0. \end{cases}$$

## 2.1 Models of Financial Contagion: Local Interactions



Systemic Risk Measures for Network Models

#### Network Model with Local Interactions Only:

- Liquid endowment:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$
- Obligations fulfilled via transfers of the liquid asset.
- Equilibrium computed as fixed point:  $p(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$ :

$$p_i(x) = \bar{p}_i \wedge \left(x_i + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ji} p_j(x)\right), \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n$$

• Existence and Uniqueness results are well studied.

Network Model with Local and Global Interaction: CIFUENTES, SHIN & FERRUCCI (2005), AMINI, FILIPOVIC & MINCA (2015), FEINSTEIN (2015), FEINSTEIN & EL-MASRI (2015)

- Liquid endowment:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$
- Obligations must be fulfilled via transfers of the liquid asset.
- Illiquid endowment in m assets:  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}_+$
- If necessary, illiquid positions must be liquidated, but these are subject to price impact described by the inverse demand function.
- Price impacts spread through the network via mark-to-market valuation.

#### The Basic Ingredients

#### **4** A notion of acceptability

- From a regulatory point of view, an **aggregate** of the financial sector is of primary importance.
- Can consider wealth of the entire society.

#### The Basic Ingredients

#### **Q** A notion of acceptability

- From a regulatory point of view, an **aggregate** of the financial sector is of primary importance.
- Can consider wealth of the entire society.
- An eligible "asset" in which supporting capital is invested
  - In the case of a financial system, the **vector of capital endowments** is a suitable choice.

#### The Basic Ingredients

#### **()** A notion of acceptability

- From a regulatory point of view, an **aggregate** of the financial sector is of primary importance.
- Can consider wealth of the entire society.
- An eligible "asset" in which supporting capital is invested
  - In the case of a financial system, the **vector of capital endowments** is a suitable choice.

#### **3** A cash flow and random evolution model

- Cash flows are aggragated between financial institutions.
- Can include cash flows **inside the financial system and to and from the real economy** modeled as well as the evolution of asset holdings.
- Examples include models with local and global interaction

• Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

- Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Vector of capital endowments:  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

- Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Vector of capital endowments:  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- Assets of financial firms evolve randomly between times t = 0 and t = 1 due to their primary business activities:
  - Sources of risk:  $Y:\mathbb{R}^n\to L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  nondecreasing random field
  - Aggregation of the risk by describing a statistic of interest for regulator
  - The random shocks are described by  $Y_k$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be set of nondecreasing random fields.

Examples of aggregation:

• Aggregation function without feedback:  $X \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is future wealth values for each agent in the financial sector. Aggregate along cross-sectional profile by  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$Y_k := \Lambda(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$$

 $\rightarrow$  Setting of Chen, Iyengar & Moallemi (2013).

Examples of aggregation:

• Aggregation function without feedback:  $X \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is future wealth values for each agent in the financial sector. Aggregate along cross-sectional profile by  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ .

$$Y_k := \Lambda(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$$

→ Setting of CHEN, IYENGAR & MOALLEMI (2013).
Aggregation function with feedback:

 $Y_k := \Lambda(X+k)$ 

•

### Special cases:

- Conditional distribution:  $Y_k^{-i}$  defined as the (aggregate) endowment of all firms  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  conditional on stresses to firm *i*. This is the setting from CoVaR.
- Exposure conditional distribution:  $Y_k^j$  defined as the endowment of firm j conditional on stresses to the other n-1 firms. This is the setting from exposure CoVaR.

### Special cases:

- Conditional distribution:  $Y_k^{-i}$  defined as the (aggregate) endowment of all firms  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  conditional on stresses to firm *i*. This is the setting from CoVaR.
- Exposure conditional distribution:  $Y_k^j$  defined as the endowment of firm j conditional on stresses to the other n-1 firms. This is the setting from exposure CoVaR.
- Equity values and losses in a financial network: The wealth of each agent evolves over time due to primary business activities. A secondary redistribution channel from contractual network, fire sales, etc. The impact on wealth to the economy outside of the financial sector is

 $Y_k := e_0(X+k)$ 

Equity values and losses in a financial network:

- $X \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $S \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$
- Liquid assets modeled by X
- **Parameters** by *S* (can include **illiquid** assets)
- Updated portfolios of agents at t = 1:

$$(k + X; S) = (k_1 + X_1, k_2 + X_2, \dots, k_n + X_n; S)$$

• The random shocks refer to the primary evolution of assets due to core business activities and systematic risk factors

### • Secondary redistribution channel

- Contractual network (e.g., loans or other securities) and global interaction (e.g., price impact, information)
- **Outside economy** i = 0 is affected

- Secondary redistribution channel
  - Contractual network (e.g., loans or other securities) and global interaction (e.g., price impact, information)
  - **Outside economy** i = 0 is affected
  - Increasing (in liquid assets) E & L (equity and loss) function

 $e: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \cup \{-\infty\}$ 

maps updated asset holdings (k + X; S) to terminal wealth

$$e(k+X;S) = (e_0(k+X;S), e_1(k+X;S), \dots, e_n(k+X;S))$$

- $e_1(k+X;S), \ldots, e_n(k+X;S)$  are equity of the firms, if positive, or losses caused by firms, if negative
- $e_0(k+X;S)$  terminal wealth of outside society

- Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Vector of capital endowments:  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  $\longrightarrow$  Eligible asset

- Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Vector of capital endowments:  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  $\longrightarrow$  Eligible asset
- Terminal statistic (e.g. wealth of real economy):  $Y_k \longrightarrow$  Cash flow and random evolution model

- Financial firms:  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$
- Vector of capital endowments:  $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$  $\longrightarrow$  Eligible asset
- Terminal statistic (e.g. wealth of real economy):  $Y_k \longrightarrow$  Cash flow and random evolution model
- $\bullet$  Acceptance set of classical scalar risk measure:  ${\cal A}$ 
  - The regulator will require financial institutions to hold capital  $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the resulting outcome is acceptable:

### $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{k}} \ \in \ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\longrightarrow$  Notion of acceptability

#### Systemic Risk Measures

 $R: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n_+) = \{D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid D = D + \mathbb{R}^n_+\}$  is a *systemic risk measure* if for some acceptance set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of a scalar risk measure:

$$R(Y;m) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Y_{m+k} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

#### Systemic Risk Measures

 $R: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n_+) = \{D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid D = D + \mathbb{R}^n_+\}$  is a *systemic risk measure* if for some acceptance set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of a scalar risk measure:

$$R(Y;m) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Y_{m+k} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Short: Write R(Y) for R(Y; 0).

#### Systemic Risk Measures

 $R: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n_+) = \{D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid D = D + \mathbb{R}^n_+\}$  is a *systemic risk measure* if for some acceptance set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  of a scalar risk measure:

$$R(Y;m) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Y_{m+k} \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Short: Write R(Y) for R(Y; 0).

Mild assumptions to exclude pathological cases:

- There exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $Y_k \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- There exists  $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so that  $Y_k \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

Such  $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  defined to be  $\mathcal{L}$ .

#### Systemic Risk Measures – Properties

• Translativity:

$$R(Y; m + k) = R(Y; m) - k$$

**2** Monotonicity:

$$Z \geq Y \ \Rightarrow \ R(Z) \supseteq R(Y)$$

#### Systemic Risk Measures – Properties

• Translativity:

$$R(Y; m + k) = R(Y; m) - k$$

**2** Monotonicity:

$$Z \geq Y \ \Rightarrow \ R(Z) \supseteq R(Y)$$

- <sup>③</sup> Closed values: If  $Y \in \mathcal{L}$  is continuous with respect to its indices in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then R(Y) is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Convexity: Suppose that A is convex. Can have different convexity properties depending on structure of random fields Y.

#### Examples:

• Aggregation without feedback:  $Y_k = \Lambda(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ 

$$R(Y) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho(\Lambda(X)) \le \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right\}$$
$$\rho(Z) := \inf\{ u \in \mathbb{R} \mid Z + u \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

is all possible capital allocations for a framework in the sense of CHEN, IYENGAR, MOALLEMI (2013); KROMER, OVERBECK, ZILCH (2014).

#### Examples:

• Aggregation without feedback:  $Y_k = \Lambda(X) + \sum_{i=1}^n k_i$ 

$$R(Y) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \rho(\Lambda(X)) \le \sum_{i=1}^n k_i \right\}$$
$$\rho(Z) := \inf\{ u \in \mathbb{R} \mid Z + u \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

is all possible capital allocations for a framework in the sense of CHEN, IYENGAR, MOALLEMI (2013); KROMER, OVERBECK, ZILCH (2014).

• Aggregation with feedback:  $Y_k = \Lambda(X + k)$ 

$$R(Y) = \{k \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid X + k \in \mathcal{A}^e\}$$
$$\mathcal{A}^e := \{Z \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \Lambda(Z) \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is a set-valued risk measure in the sense of MEDDEB, JOUNINI, TOUZI (2004); HAMEL, HEYDE, RUDLOFF (2011).

• The determination of minimal capital requires the computation of the boundary of R(Y). The algorithms checks if the condition

$$Y_k \in \mathcal{A} \tag{1}$$

is satisfied for points on a grid.

• Verifying condition (1) typically requires Monte Carlo simulation.

• The determination of minimal capital requires the computation of the boundary of R(Y). The algorithms checks if the condition

$$Y_k \in \mathcal{A} \tag{1}$$

is satisfied for points on a grid.

- Verifying condition (1) typically requires Monte Carlo simulation.
- If aggregation without feedback then computation is simplified.
- A systemic risk measurement R(Y) is an **upper set** that is also **convex** if Y is concave in its indices and  $\mathcal{A}$  is convex.





















# Case studies

### Case Study A

- Framework of EISENBERG & NOE (2001): only **local interaction** in the network
- Tiered graph:
  - Connections are randomly generated, probabilities within tiers and between tiers are fixed
  - Size of obligations within tiers and between tiers along connections fixed
- 2 Tiers/Groups: few firms with large obligations (10), many firms with small obligations (90)
- Further ingredients: random endowments, acceptance set defined by AV@R
- Comparative statics: varying the degrees of connectedness

## 5. Numerical Examples

#### Case Study A



Figure: capital requirements for 2 tiers (100 banks; EISENBERG & NOE (2001) network model); AV@R

### Case Study B

- Both local (network) and global (price impact) interaction (AMINI, FILIPOVIC, MINCA (2013))
- Tiered graph:
  - Connections are randomly generated, probabilities within tiers and between tiers are fixed
  - Size of obligations within tiers and between tiers along connections fixed
- 3 Tiers/Groups: few large (10), intermediate number of intermediate size (90), many small (200)
- Further ingredients: random endowments, acceptance set defined by utility based shortfall risk measure with  $u(x) = x^{\frac{3}{2}}$  and inverse demand function  $f(x) = \exp(-x/2)$
- Comparative statics: varying fraction in illiquid asset

## 5. Numerical Examples



Figure: capital requirements for 3 tiers (300 banks; AMINI, FILIPOVIC, MINCA (2013) network model); utility based shortfall risk measure

Systemic Risk Measures for Network Models

# **Orthant Risk Measures**

### Simplification

- R(Y) is **collection** of acceptable capital allocations:
  - Financial firms cannot choose their capital independently of the other firms.
  - Although risk measurements R(Y) capture the essence of systemic risk, they might be **difficult to communicate**.

### Simplification

- R(Y) is **collection** of acceptable capital allocations:
  - Financial firms cannot choose their capital independently of the other firms.
  - Although risk measurements R(Y) capture the essence of systemic risk, they might be **difficult to communicate**.
- A simple alternative consists in choosing a point  $k^*$  in the boundary of R(Y) and to require firms to hold capital inside

### $k^* + \mathbb{R}^n_+$

• Construction is more conservative, without any externalities of the choices of capital levels, and easy to communicate.

### **Orthant Risk Measures – Definition**

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the power set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A mapping  $k^* : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is called an **orthant risk measure** associated to a systemic risk measure R, if the following properties are satisfied:

- $Minimal values: k^*(Y) \subseteq Min R(Y), \quad Y \in \mathcal{L}$
- **2** Convex values:  $\forall \alpha \in [0,1], \ \forall Y \in \mathcal{L}$

$$k_1, k_2 \in k^*(Y) \implies \alpha k_1 + (1 - \alpha)k_2 \in k^*(Y)$$

**3** Translativity:  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{L}, \ \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$k^*(Y_{k+\cdot}) = k^*(Y) - k$$

### 6. Orthant risk measures

#### **Orthant Risk Measures – Characterization**

#### Lemma

Let  $R : \mathcal{L} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n_+)$  be a systemic risk measure. For  $w : \mathcal{L} \to \mathbb{R}^n_+$  with  $w(Y) \in (\operatorname{recc}(R(Y)))^+$  and  $w(Y_{k+\cdot}) = w(Y)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the set-valued mapping

$$\hat{k}(Y) = \operatorname{argmin}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i(Y)k_i \mid k \in R(Y)\right\}$$
(2)

defines an orthant risk measures. All orthant risk measures  $k^*$  are included in orthant risk measures  $\hat{k}$  of form (2), i.e.  $k^*(Y) \subseteq \hat{k}(Y)$  for all  $Y \in \mathcal{L}$ .

• The lemma provides examples of orthant risk measures via a specific choice of the "regulatory price of capital" w.

**Options** for the regulatory price of capital *w*: Fixed price vectors:

- w = (1, ..., 1): minimize system-wide addition of capital
- $w_i = 1/\bar{p}_i$ : minimize total capital weighted by obligation
- $w_i$  is a nonincreasing function of leverage: higher leverage  $\implies$  higher capital requirement

**Options** for the regulatory price of capital *w*: Fixed price vectors:

- w = (1, ..., 1): minimize system-wide addition of capital
- $w_i = 1/\bar{p}_i$ : minimize total capital weighted by obligation
- $w_i$  is a nonincreasing function of leverage: higher leverage  $\implies$  higher capital requirement

### Varying price vectors:

 w<sub>i</sub> depends on the fluctuations of risk, e.g. w<sub>i</sub> = 1/var(X<sub>i</sub>): higher variance of primary business activites ⇒ higher capital requirement

#### Some related literature:

- BRUNNERMEIER & CHERIDITO (2013) is a special case. Generalization possible to include feedback effects
- Other recent scalar measures of systemic risk (not translative): CHEN, IYENGAR, MOALLEMI (2013), KROMER, OVERBECK, ZILCH (2014)
- Usually: scalar risk measure on aggregated losses; then risk attribution; no feedback effects

# Thank You!

FEINSTEIN, RUDLOFF, WEBER (2016): Measures of systemic risk.