# Solution to Homework 4

# MA502 Linear Algebra

## Problem 1.

Use elementary row operation to compute the determinants of following matrices.

(a) 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} i & i & i \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -i & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
  
SOLUTION:

$$A \xrightarrow{(1)\times i+(2)}_{(1)+(3)} \begin{bmatrix} i & i & i \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 1+i & i \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(2)\times \frac{1+i}{2}+(3)} \begin{bmatrix} i & i & i \\ 0 & -2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1-i}{2} \end{bmatrix} := A_1$$
  
Then,  $det(A) = det(A_1) = i \cdot (-2) \cdot (-\frac{1-i}{2}) = 1 + i.$   
(b)  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Solution:

$$B \xrightarrow{(1)\leftrightarrow(5)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{(3)\leftrightarrow(4)}_{(4)\leftrightarrow(5)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -3 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} := B_1$$
Hence,  $det(B) = -det(B_1) = -\frac{9}{16}$ .

(c) 
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 in  $\mathbb{F}_5$   
SOLUTION:

$$C \xrightarrow{(2)-(1)\times 4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} := C_1$$

Hence,  $det(C) = det(C_1) = 0$ 

### Problem 3.

Let  $A = [a_{ij}]$  be an  $n \times n$  upper-triangular matrix. Prove that the characteristic polynomial of A is

$$\chi_A(z) = (z - a_{11}) \cdots (z - a_{nn})$$

Proof. Let  $\lambda = a_{nn}$ . Since  $A - \lambda I$  has a row of zeros, it has non-zero kernel. More specifically, there exists a vector  $\mathbf{v}_n$  with  $A\mathbf{v}_n = \lambda \mathbf{v}_n$  and the last entry of  $\mathbf{v}_n$  is non-zero. Let  $\hat{A}$  denote the matrix obtained by deleting the last row and column of A. The same argument gives us a vector  $\mathbf{w}_{n-1}$  with nonzero  $(n-1)^{\text{st}}$  entry such that  $\hat{A}\mathbf{w}_{n-1} = a_{n-1,n-1}\mathbf{w}_{n-1}$ . Extending this with one zero gives us a vector  $\mathbf{v}_{n-1}$  with nonzero  $(n-1)^{\text{st}}$  entry such that  $A\mathbf{v}_{n-1} = a_{n-1,n-1}\mathbf{w}_{n-1}$ . Not finished.

### Problem 4.

Problem 10.10 on Page 249 in Hobart's notes.

*Proof.* We first compute the characteristic polynomial by row reduction. We note that a row swaps just change the sign of the determinant and this can be ignored when computing the polynomial. So we proceed:

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 6 - \lambda & 3/2 & 0 & 3/2 \\ -1 & 6 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/2 & 6 - \lambda & 3/2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 6 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{(1) \leftrightarrow (4)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 6 - \lambda \\ -1 & 6 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/2 & 6 - \lambda & 3/2 \\ 6 - \lambda & 3/2 & 0 & 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\xrightarrow{(1) \leftrightarrow (4)} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 6 - \lambda \\ -1 & 6 - \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/2 & 6 - \lambda & 3/2 \\ 6 - \lambda & 3/2 & 0 & 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can find by simple row reduction that the only eigenvalue is  $\lambda = 6$ . Hence,

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1.5 & 0 & 1.5 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1.5 & 0 & 1.5 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, (A - \lambda I)^2 = 0$$

We need to find independent vectors that is not in the kernel of  $A - \lambda I$ , and belongs to kernel of  $(A - \lambda I)^2$ . Such vectors can be as the following:

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$$
,  $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ 

Hence,  $v_{11} = (A - \lambda I)v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ ,  $v_{22} = (A - \lambda I)v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ . Hence, we  $\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

can have 
$$S = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_1 & v_{22} & v_2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
, and  $J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ , such that  $A = S^{-1}JS$ .

**Problem 5.** Problem 10.15 on Page 249 in Hobart's notes.

(a) *Proof.* For A, we have invertible matrix P, and Jordan matrix J such that  $A = PJP^{-1}$ . Then for an eigenvalue  $\mu$  with order t, we have that for a generalized eigenvector v

$$(A - \mu I)^{t}v = (PJP^{-1} - \mu I)^{t}v = (P(J - \mu I)P^{-1})^{t}v = P(J - \mu I)^{t}P^{-1}v = 0$$
$$(A - \mu I)^{t-1}v = P(J - \mu I)^{t-1}P^{-1}v \neq 0$$

Meanwhile, since B is similar to A, we can find invertible matrix  $P_1$  such that  $B = P_1AP_1^{-1}$ . Then,  $B = (P_1P)J(P_1P)^{-1}$ , and choose  $v_1 = P_1v.(v_1 \text{ cannot be } 0, \text{ since otherwise, column space of } P_1 \text{ is linearly dependent, contradicting with invertibility of } P_1$ .) We will show that  $v_1$  is a generalized eigenvector.

$$(B - \mu I)^{t} v_{1} = P_{1} P (J - \mu I)^{t} P^{-1} P_{1}^{-1} v_{1} = P_{1} P (J - \mu I)^{t} P^{-1} v = 0$$
  
$$(B - \mu I)^{t-1} v_{1} = P_{1} P (J - \mu I)^{t-1} P^{-1} v \neq 0$$

The second equation is due to the fact that  $P_1$  is invertible, so column space is linear independent. Then we prove that for the same  $\mu$ , A and B have the same order. Meanwhile, any generalized eigenvector of B with eigenvalue  $\mu$  is linear combination of  $\{P_1v : v \text{ is generalized eigenvector of } A \text{ with } \mu\}$ . If not, suppose  $v_2$  is not in the span of  $\{P_1v\}$ , and then we can find  $P_1^{-1}v_2$ , which is generalized eigenvector of A. Contradiction!

- (b) *Proof.* The above statement is equivalent to "If for eigenvalue  $\mu$ , A and B has different order of generalized eigenvector, then they are not similar matrices." Hence, we only need to prove that they have different order.

Hence, C has order 3, and D has order 2, and they are not similar matrices.  $\hfill\square$