

Epsilon School of Mathematics and Science

Nick Giza, Armaan Priyadarshan, Timothy
Schowalter, Ethan Zhou

Table Of Contents

1. Context/Problem Statement

3. Process and Model Development

2. Assumptions, Variables, & Hypothesis

4. Solution, Justification and testing

5. Strengths/Weaknesses

Context/Problem Statement

The Epsilon School of Mathematics and Science is expanding to be able to hold 630 students, as opposed to the prior capacity of 490 students. In order to facilitate this expansion, they will be hiring 7 additional teachers.

How can the 7 new teachers be distributed among the school's 9 departments in order to best serve the school and the student body?

Assumptions & Justification

Assumptions:

- All students take one English class per year
- The dropout rate was consistent throughout every year
- Each grade maintains the same subject enrollment ratio from year to year
- All teachers have the same number of classes
- The enrollments-teacher ratios from last year were ideal

Problem Analysis

- Objective: Make the enrollment to teacher ratio as close as possible to the previous year
- Uses assumption that teachers were hired in such a way to best fit the needs of the previous years' student body
- Our model aims to extrapolate the previous years' ratio onto the size of the new student body to best serve this year's student body

Variables

Variables:

- Enrollments per teacher (New and Past)
- Subject enrollments (New and Past)
- Grade size (New and Past)
- Subject enrollment to total ratio = subject enrollment / total enrollment
- Enrollment per teacher difference = Enrollments per teacher (New)
 - enrollment per teacher (Past)

Hypothesis

Based on the subject enrollments and teacher counts, it is likely that the Math and Music departments will need additional teachers due to the high student to teacher ratios

Current Class Sizes

- We then found the size of each grade from the previous year by looking at the enrollments for English classes
 - We made this assumption since the total number of English enrollments was equal to the number of students and resultant grade sizes were distributed reasonably
- To find the new grade size, we had to factor in the 5% dropout rate and the additional 140 incoming sophomores

| Subject | 10th grade | 11th grade | 12th grade | Total |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Art | 31 | 33 | 35 | 99 |
| Biology | 198 | 95 | 26 | 319 |
| Chemistry | 59 | 126 | 109 | 294 |
| English | 183 | 155 | 152 | 490 |
| French | 41 | 32 | 49 | 122 |
| German | 19 | 22 | 10 | 51 |
| Spanish | 51 | 26 | 33 | 110 |
| Mathematics | 184 | 201 | 262 | 647 |
| Music | 50 | 56 | 49 | 155 |
| Physics | 50 | 58 | 183 | 291 |
| Social Studies | 183 | 131 | 59 | 373 |

New Class Sizes

- We assumed that the dropout rate was equal across each grade, so $(5\%)*(\frac{1}{3})$ of each grade dropped out over the 2023 school year
- Using the exponential decay formula $(\text{class size})*(1 - 0.05*\frac{1}{3})$, we found the 2023 class sizes after dropouts
- The incoming sophomore class was found after applying this formula to the graduating senior class and adding 140

| | Class sizes after dropouts |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| 10th grade: | 289 |
| 11th grade: | 180 |
| 12th grade: | 152 |
| Total enrollment: | 621 |
| | |
| Total Dropouts: | 9 dropouts |

The new 11th grade and 12th grades are the size of the respective 10th and 11th grade classes from 2023 after applying the formula. The new 10th grade is the size of the 2023 senior class after applying the formula and adding 140.

Past Subject to Grade Ratios

- Our model then divided the previous year's enrollments per subject for each grade by the sum of all enrollments for that grade to find **what fraction of the grade took each subject**.

| Subject | 10th grade | 11th grade | 12th grade | Total |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Art | 31 | 33 | 35 | 99 |
| Biology | 198 | 95 | 26 | 319 |
| Chemistry | 59 | 126 | 109 | 294 |
| English | 183 | 155 | 152 | 490 |
| French | 41 | 32 | 49 | 122 |
| German | 19 | 22 | 10 | 51 |
| Spanish | 51 | 26 | 33 | 110 |
| Mathematics | 184 | 201 | 262 | 647 |
| Music | 50 | 56 | 49 | 155 |
| Physics | 50 | 58 | 183 | 291 |
| Social Studies | 183 | 131 | 59 | 373 |
| Totals: | 1049 | 935 | 967 | 2951 |



| subject/total 10th | subject/total 11th | subject/total 12th |
|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.16 |
| 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.05 |
| 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.19 |
| 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.06 |

Subject Enrollments for the New Class

- Using the **new** class sizes, we multiplied them by the each ratio of subject enrollments to total enrollments for the previous year's class and the average enrollments per student to find the new subject enrollments for each class.

| | New 10th grade | New 11th grade | New 12th grade | Total |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| Art | 49 | 38 | 35 | 122 |
| Biology | 313 | 110 | 26 | 449 |
| Chemistry | 93 | 146 | 109 | 348 |
| English | 289 | 180 | 152 | 621 |
| French | 65 | 37 | 49 | 151 |
| German | 30 | 26 | 10 | 66 |
| Spanish | 81 | 30 | 33 | 144 |
| Mathematics | 291 | 233 | 262 | 786 |
| Music | 79 | 65 | 49 | 193 |
| Physics | 79 | 67 | 183 | 329 |
| Social Studies | 289 | 152 | 59 | 500 |

Consistent with the assumption that all students take exactly one english class

Solution

- A system of demand was established by looking at the greatest difference between this year's enrollment-teacher ratio and last year's enrollment-teacher ratio
- Teachers were added one at a time to the subject with the greatest enrollment difference between the two years

| Subject | New teacher totals | New hires |
|----------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Art | 2 | 1 |
| Biology | 5 | 1 |
| Chemistry | 3 | 0 |
| English | 6 | 1 |
| French | 1.5 | |
| German | 1 | |
| Spanish | 1.5 | 1 |
| Mathematics | 7 | 1 |
| Music | 2 | 1 |
| Physics | 3 | 0 |
| Social Studies | 6 | 1 |

Justification & Testing

- Our model logically compares the enrollment to teacher ratios of the previous year and the current year to ensure consistency throughout the two years
- It optimizes the ratio so that the enrollment to teacher difference is minimal
- A possible way to test this model would be to compare the enrollment to teacher difference of our scenario with a scenario where seven teachers were hired at random

| Enrollment to teacher difference | Enrollment to teacher difference with no hires | Enrollment to teacher difference with random hires | Random hires | Random new teacher totals |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--------------|---------------------------|
| -37.86 | 23.28 | 118.28 | 3 | 4 |
| 10.05 | 32.50 | 444.01 | 1 | 5 |
| 18.17 | 18.17 | 345.50 | 0 | 3 |
| 5.50 | 26.20 | 616.00 | 0 | 5 |
| -4.28 | 25.73 | 357.20 | 0 | 3 |
| 4.45 | 23.17 | 779.00 | 1 | 7 |
| -58.50 | 37.99 | 191.99 | 0 | 1 |
| 12.77 | 12.77 | 326.32 | 0 | 3 |
| 8.75 | 25.43 | 493.13 | 2 | 7 |

Language Teachers

- If we consider the language department as a whole, demand called for one additional teacher
- Using the same method of matching enrollments per teacher to last year's values, we determined an optimal distribution of 1.5 : 1 : 1.5 teachers among French, German and Spanish, where 0.5 of a teacher represents a teacher spending half their time teaching that language and half their time teaching another
- This distribution can be achieved by hiring one additional teacher regardless of the previous distribution

Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths

- Normalized the enrollment to teacher ratio for each subject
- Factored in the dropout rate
- Optimized the distribution within the language department

Weaknesses

- Lost precision due to assumptions:
 - ◆ Old class sizes
 - ◆ Equal dropout rates across grades
 - ◆ Subject enrollment
 - ◆ Subject importance
 - ◆ Previous ratios were ideal



Questions?

We gotchu