

## Rubric for Critiques

**Name:**

**Date:**

**Paper Title:**

The critique:	Points	Self	Final
-- effectively summarizes the paper in a maximum of two sentences and identifies question or problem being addressed.	+1		
-- provides brief and accurate historical context for the proposed work.	+1		
-- provides a short assessment of the paper's contribution and significance.	+1		
-- finds a valid flaw in the approach for answering question or solving problem.	+1		
-- demonstrates that the approach for addressing the problem is convincing.	+1		
-- suggests a reasonable improvement to the proposed method.	+2		
-- proposes an original and plausible application of the proposed method.	+1		
-- meaningfully compares the proposed method to that of another paper.	+1		
-- raises a valid shortcoming about experimental design.	+1		
-- demonstrates that the experimental design is convincing.	+1		
-- suggests a reasonable improvement to the experimental design.	+1		
-- finds a valid concern in reported results.	+1		
-- demonstrates why the reported results are sufficiently convincing.	+1		
-- meaningfully compares the reported results to those of another paper.	+1		
-- poses an original question or problem related to the paper's overall goal	+1		
-- has flawless grammar, spelling, and usage.	+1		
-- is written in an appropriate scholarly tone.	+1		

**Total:**

**Reference points:**

13 points outstanding

11 points excellent

9 points solid

7 points adequate

5 points inadequate

**Additional requirements:**

Critique must use 12 point font, 1 inch margins, and the length may not exceed a single page of single spaced text. Student's name and critique date should appear in top left corner. The paper's title and its authorship must be included either in the text of the critique, or in a citation following the critique in ACM format. A copy of this rubric must be submitted with the critique, with the student's self-assessment scores indicated and totaled.