

EXTENDED TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: THE CONTEXT OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	9
INTRODUCTION	10
[informed decisions]	10
<i>importance of information</i>	10
<i>municipal use of information</i>	10
<i>urban information technology</i>	10
[information vs. documentation].....	10
[increased adoption of GIS].....	10
<i>Geographic Information Systems</i>	11
[Spatial Data Infrastructure].....	11
[framework data]	11
[Community Statistical Systems].....	11
[Neighborhood Indicator Partnership]	11
[FGDC and NDIS].....	11
<i>Planning Support Systems</i>	11
<i>local GIS strategies</i>	12
<i>the challenges</i>	13
<i>the solution: City Knowledge</i>	13
RESEARCH QUESTION.....	14
METHODOLOGY.....	14
INTELLECTUAL CONTRIBUTION	15
PROBLEMS.....	15
<i>ad-hoc data collection</i>	15
<i>lack of infrastructure</i>	15
<i>lack of dissemination and re-use</i>	15
<i>redundancy and waste</i>	15
<i>low effectiveness of GIS</i>	16
<i>lack of a systematic approach</i>	16
[technology-driven]	16
OPPORTUNITIES	16
<i>taming the urban infoscape</i>	16
<i>framework data</i>	16
<i>neighborhood indicators</i>	16
<i>getting it together</i>	16
[comprehensive municipal information system].....	17
<i>the elusive solution</i>	17
<i>lower transaction costs</i>	17
<i>low-cost technology</i>	17
[systematic accumulation]	17
<i>capturing permanent features</i>	17
[slow change].....	17
<i>recent technological advances</i>	18
<i>knowledge accrual</i>	18
<i>emergence</i>	18
GOALS.....	18
<i>establish importance</i>	18
<i>inventory information needs</i>	18
<i>address obstacles</i>	18

<i>identify desired qualities</i>	18
<i>propose approach</i>	19
<i>support with case studies</i>	19
<i>organize routine operations</i>	19
<i>demonstrate emergent qualities</i>	19
<i>assess usefulness to planners</i>	19
<i>distill and generalize</i>	19
<i>explore planning implications</i>	19
<i>maintenance, management and planning</i>	19
<i>spontaneous emergence of plans</i>	19
DOCUMENT STRUCTURE	20
<i>I: The Context of City Knowledge</i>	20
<i>II: The Path to City Knowledge</i>	20
<i>III: The Devolution of City Knowledge</i>	20
<i>IV: The Essence of City Knowledge</i>	20
<i>V: The Emergence of City Knowledge</i>	21
MUNICIPAL MAINTENANCE, MANAGEMENT AND PLANNING	22
MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION	22
<i>what cities do</i>	22
<i>political and executive branch</i>	23
[strong mayor]	23
[selectmen]	23
[first selectman]	23
[town meetings]	23
[city manager]	23
<i>bottom-up flow of information</i>	23
<i>internal services</i>	23
<i>revenues</i>	24
<i>public health and safety</i>	24
<i>culture and leisure</i>	24
<i>education</i>	25
<i>physical services</i>	25
DATA, INFORMATION, KNOWLEDGE AND ACTION	26
<i>data, information and knowledge</i>	26
<i>from knowledge to action</i>	26
<i>lack of data (hard facts)</i>	26
<i>information vs. documentation</i>	27
<i>communicative planning</i>	27
<i>reflective practice</i>	28
<i>from information to knowledge</i>	28
<i>inspiration and discovery</i>	28
<i>low-hanging fruit</i>	29
CITY DATA	29
<i>local spatial data infrastructure</i>	29
“PLAN-DEMANDED” DATA	29
<i>plan-demanded examples</i>	30
CITY INFORMATION	31
<i>communicative planning</i>	31
“PLAN-READY” INFORMATION	32

<i>standard referencing system</i>	32
<i>gradual accrual</i>	33
<i>low hanging branches</i>	33
<i>low-hanging fruit</i>	33
<i>spatial georeferencing</i>	33
<i>atomic recordkeeping</i>	33
<i>planning “automation”</i>	33
<i>ambulance dispatching system</i>	34
<i>the power of plan-ready</i>	35
CITY KNOWLEDGE	35
<i>from knowledge to decisions</i>	35
“PLAN-DEMANDING” KNOWLEDGE.....	35
<i>from knowledge to action</i>	35
<i>plan-demanded: cargo deliveries data</i>	36
<i>plan-ready: canal closure analysis</i>	37
<i>plan-demanding: cargo re-engineering</i>	37
PART II: THE PATH TO CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	39
TRAILBLAZING	40
THE VENICE PROJECT CENTER	40
<i>creating a bridge</i>	40
<i>bootstrapping</i>	40
<i>the early years</i>	41
<i>286 laptops</i>	41
<i>hard drives!</i>	41
GIS	41
<i>mapinfo for DOS</i>	41
<i>unavailable maps</i>	41
<i>making our own</i>	41
DATABASES	41
<i>Dbase III</i>	41
<i>Dbase IV</i>	41
<i>the database “habit”</i>	42
PRELUDE TO CITY KNOWLEDGE	42
<i>the grand scheme</i>	42
<i>City Knowledge by any other name</i>	42
THE REST OF PART II.....	42
<i>the Venice Inner Canals project</i>	42
<i>Protecting Venice from the Tides</i>	42
THE “VENICE INNER CANALS” PROJECT.....	44
<i>a fateful suggestion</i>	44
<i>the first scientific study of the canals</i>	44
UNESCO.....	44
MURST.....	44
<i>Insula S.p.A.</i>	44
<i>the Venice Inner Canals project</i>	45
<i>the moral of the story</i>	46
THE CANAL NETWORK	47
<i>double duty</i>	47

<i>lack of canal studies</i>	47
<i>lack of standard referencing</i>	47
REPRESENTING THE NETWORK	49
<i>canal segment</i>	49
<i>islands</i>	49
<i>rii terà</i>	50
<i>intersections</i>	50
<i>segments</i>	50
<i>canals</i>	50
<i>Lesson 1: atomize</i>	50
STANDARD REFERENCE SYSTEM	52
<i>canal codes</i>	52
<i>canal names and aliases</i>	52
<i>segment codes</i>	52
<i>island codes and names</i>	52
<i>intersection codes</i>	52
<i>rii terà codes</i>	53
<i>legacy identifiers</i>	53
<i>embedded permanent parameters</i>	53
<i>reusability</i>	54
<i>Lesson 2: codify</i>	54
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS.....	55
<i>surface area</i>	55
<i>length</i>	55
<i>centerlines</i>	56
<i>width</i>	56
<i>average width</i>	57
<i>modeling canal geometry</i>	57
<i>narrowest point</i>	57
<i>depth or bathymetry</i>	58
<i>normalizing to the absolute zero</i>	58
<i>cross-sections</i>	58
<i>dynamic segmentation</i>	58
<i>unit of analysis</i>	58
<i>soundings</i>	59
<i>average depth</i>	59
<i>modeling canal depths</i>	59
<i>sediment volume</i>	59
<i>canal bottom</i>	60
<i>water volume</i>	60
<i>navigability</i>	60
<i>shallowest bottleneck</i>	61
<i>partial navigability</i>	61
<i>navigation aids</i>	61
<i>data longevity</i>	61
<i>data maintenance</i>	61
<i>sedimentation model</i>	62
<i>lessons from our physical studies</i>	62
[do not oversimplify reality].....	62
[represent objects as they are].....	62
[create geometry to suit needs].....	62

[multiple geometries can be appropriate]	62
[capture permanent physical dimensions].....	62
[prepare for sub-segmentation].....	62
[update ephemeral dimensions]	63
[2-D vs. 3-D].....	63
[modeling vs. re-measuring].....	63
[modeling predictable processes].....	63
HYDRODYNAMICS	64
<i>tides</i>	64
<i>acqua alta (flooding)</i>	64
<i>currents</i>	64
<i>hydrodynamic behavior</i>	64
<i>cyclical regularity</i>	66
<i>hydrodynamic model</i>	66
<i>reutilization of datasets</i>	66
<i>coupling GIS and models</i>	67
<i>simulating and forecasting</i>	68
<i>lessons from our hydrodynamic studies</i>	68
[cyclical regularity and modeling].....	68
[re-usability of fine-grained data].....	68
[use finest grain]	68
[keep data in raw form].....	68
[think ahead!].....	68
[enrich maps and data teleologically]	68
[re-use model itself].....	68
[hierarchical re-utilizations].....	69
BOAT TRAFFIC	70
<i>motorboats</i>	70
<i>moto ondoso</i>	70
<i>inner and outer ring</i>	71
<i>ring connectors</i>	71
<i>secondary arteries</i>	71
<i>bypasses</i>	71
<i>previous studies</i>	72
<i>turning movement counts (TMC)</i>	72
<i>automatic traffic recorders (ATR)</i>	72
<i>turning movements</i>	72
<i>stations</i>	72
<i>WPI-UNESCO traffic studies</i>	72
<i>maneuvers</i>	73
<i>from turns to volumes</i>	73
<i>from traffic data to traffic information</i>	73
<i>depicting traffic data</i>	74
<i>thematic maps</i>	74
<i>traffic by maneuver</i>	75
<i>traffic by station</i>	76
<i>critical sums</i>	77
<i>traffic by segment</i>	77
<i>ATR vs. TMC volumes</i>	77
<i>segment traffic and moto ondoso</i>	78
<i>direction of travel</i>	78
<i>extracting and encoding direction</i>	78

<i>depicting directional traffic</i>	78
<i>analyzing traffic data</i>	79
<i>analysis by vehicle type</i>	79
<i>classes and categories</i>	79
<i>temporal analysis</i>	79
<i>seasonal variations</i>	80
<i>traffic decision support systems</i>	80
<i>multimedia interface</i>	81
<i>traffic modeling</i>	82
<i>origin and destination</i>	82
<i>moto ondosso index</i>	82
<i>turbulent energy discharges</i>	83
<i>updating traffic data</i>	83
<i>automatic traffic recorders</i>	83
<i>turning movement counters</i>	83
<i>RFID boat monitoring device</i>	84
<i>GPS boat monitoring device</i>	85
<i>ultrasound boat monitoring device</i>	85
<i>automating traffic volume collection</i>	86
<i>automating turning movement counts</i>	86
<i>manual traffic data collection</i>	86
<i>the infrastructure of traffic knowledge</i>	87
<i>structure of traffic GIS</i>	87
<i>structure of traffic databases</i>	87
<i>lessons from our boat traffic studies</i>	88
[the “human factor”].....	88
[automatic instruments]	88
[rigorous data-collection methods]	88
[scheduled updates]	88
[free updates]	88
[spatial geo-scaffolding for activities]	88
ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	89
<i>sewer outlets</i>	89
[row boats and gondoliers]	89
<i>mapping sewer outlets</i>	90
<i>photometrics</i>	90
<i>coding sewer outlets</i>	90
<i>public health</i>	90
[organic pollution]	91
<i>environmental health</i>	91
[pathogens]	91
[fertilizing].....	91
<i>water quality</i>	92
[salinity].....	92
[water consumption]	92
<i>sedimentation</i>	92
[sediment transport].....	92
[sediment sources]	93
<i>outlet clogging</i>	93
<i>sediment quality</i>	93
<i>current situation</i>	94
<i>vacuum sewers for Venice</i>	94

<i>lessons from our environmental studies</i>	94
[urban environmental information].....	94
[mapping point sources]	94
[proxy measurements]	95
[administrative data].....	95
PROTECTING VENICE FROM THE TIDES.....	96
<i>flooding in Venice</i>	96
[urban features affected by flooding].....	96
<i>“moto ondoso” in Venice</i>	97
<i>the case for City Knowledge</i>	97
[no “true” City Knowledge system].....	97
DAMAGE TO PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE	99
[second-order re-use].....	101
[separating permanent and ephemeral]	101
<i>lessons from infrastructure analysis</i>	101
[include update functions]	101
[citizen reports].....	101
DAMAGE TO UNDERGROUND INFRASTRUCTURE.....	102
<i>managing the underground infrastructure</i>	102
<i>updating the information</i>	103
<i>lessons from underground data management</i>	103
[logistical and administrative updates]	103
[informational jurisdictions]	103
DAMAGE TO PRIVATE AND PUBLIC BUILDINGS	104
<i>damage to buildings</i>	104
[58% of buildings flood with 130cm tide].....	104
[local anti-flood measures]	104
[costing out anti-flood measures].....	104
<i>damage to stores</i>	104
[67% of stores flood with 130 cm tide]	104
[anti-flood permits].....	105
<i>coordinating building restoration efforts</i>	105
<i>lessons about building data management</i>	105
[self-serving interests first]	105
[second-order uses emerge later]	106
[coordination adds value]	106
DAMAGE TO PALACES, CHURCHES AND CONVENTS	107
<i>cultural heritage catalogs</i>	107
<i>church floor artifacts</i>	108
<i>lessons from palaces, churches and convents</i>	109
[truly permanent catalogs]	109
[never-ending condition assessments]	109
[be thorough and complete]	109
[share results to avoid duplication]	109
DAMAGE TO OTHER CULTURAL HERITAGE	110
<i>endangered artwork</i>	110
<i>emergent transcriptions</i>	111
<i>public art</i>	112
<i>wellheads and flagpoles</i>	113
<i>lessons from cultural heritage</i>	114
[inventory within jurisdiction].....	114
[no centralized know-it-all system]	114

[emergent distributed network].....	114
[no data management mediators].....	114
[minimize in-house data input].....	114
DAMAGE TO CANAL WALLS.....	115
“deep knowledge”.....	116
wake-loading.....	117
turbulent discharges.....	117
“interscambio merci”.....	118
reducing wall damage with knowledge.....	120
lessons from “moto ondoso” analyses.....	121
[value-added].....	121
[efficiency].....	121
[effectiveness].....	121
[efficacy].....	122
THE PROMISE OF CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	122
middle-out from each jurisdiction.....	122
intercept administrative transactions.....	122
creating a virtual central bank.....	122
PART III: THE DEVOLUTION OF CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	123
EXPORTING THE LESSONS.....	124
the unreflective practitioner.....	124
serendipity.....	124
CAMBRIDGE CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	125
WPI Projects in Cambridge.....	125
CAMBRIDGE TREES.....	125
tree maintenance.....	125
“the Works”.....	125
tree-induced energy savings.....	125
tree inventory.....	126
[tree codes].....	126
[tree condition assessment].....	126
[low-tech beats high-tech].....	127
trees and energy savings.....	127
update mechanisms.....	127
[lower cost of maintenance-based updates].....	128
[informational returns by contract].....	128
[no waste].....	128
[no travel].....	128
[blue-collar updates].....	128
maintenance-based cataloguing.....	128
[start catalog with professionals].....	129
[trees and air quality].....	129
leveraging plan-ready information.....	129
[trees and safety].....	129
[danger].....	129
separating facts and values.....	129
[disease].....	129
maximizing informational returns.....	130
[sidewalks].....	130
[trees and power lines].....	130

LESSONS FROM CAMBRIDGE.....	131
<i>transferability of City Knowledge</i>	131
[transatlantic cross-cultural transferability]	131
[transferring from academia to municipality]	131
<i>transaction vs. snapshot</i>	131
[transaction approach for permanent features].....	131
[snapshot approach for dynamic features]	131
<i>maintenance-based updates and cataloging</i>	131
<i>separating facts and values</i>	131
<i>cost savings</i>	132
WORCESTER CITY KNOWLEDGE	133
THE WORCESTER COMMUNITY PROJECT CENTER	133
<i>IMBY – in my back yard</i>	133
<i>a city as a test bed</i>	133
EXECUTIVE ORDER 418.....	134
<i>community development plan</i>	134
<i>regional planning agencies</i>	134
<i>build-out</i>	134
<i>community visioning</i>	134
<i>assets and liabilities inventory</i>	135
<i>deliverable GIS maps</i>	135
[supply and demand]	135
<i>review of past plans</i>	135
<i>open space protection</i>	136
<i>economic development</i>	136
<i>housing suitability</i>	136
<i>transportation issues</i>	136
WORCESTER E.O. 418	137
REVIEW OF EXISTING PLANS	137
[parameterizing plans]	138
[before and after building use].....	138
[lasting benefits of “before” data].....	139
[synergy between plans]	139
<i>lessons form plans</i>	140
[building use by floor]	140
[free traffic data].....	140
[supply and demand basins].....	140
<i>parcel-level build-out</i>	141
BUILDOUT ANALYSIS	141
[parametric zoning].....	142
<i>lessons from buildout analysis</i>	142
[pseudo-City Knowledge situation]	142
[exploratory vs. optimal]	142
TRANSPORTATION ACCESSIBILITY	143
<i>lessons from traffic accessibility</i>	143
OPEN SPACE ANALYSIS.....	144
[open space suitability map].....	144
<i>feasibility and desirability</i>	145
<i>applying the 5 tools</i>	145
<i>lessons form open space analysis</i>	145
[exploratory filters vs. perfect match].....	145

HOUSING ANALYSIS	146
<i>opportunistic choice of criteria</i>	147
<i>elderly housing</i>	147
<i>suitability analysis as a tool</i>	148
<i>housing suitability map</i>	149
<i>lessons from housing analysis</i>	149
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ANALYSIS	150
<i>economic development suitability map</i>	151
[arts district prediction].....	152
<i>lessons from economic analysis</i>	152
[regional planning]	152
COMMUNITY VISIONING	152
A PARTICIPATORY PLANNING TOOL.....	153
<i>lessons from public participation</i>	154
COMPOSITE SUITABILITY MAP.....	155
LESSONS FROM MY OWN BACKYARD.....	156
<i>plans as information</i>	156
[building IDs]	156
<i>buildings as atoms</i>	156
[building typology and use by floor]	156
<i>floors as atoms</i>	156
[volumes and capacities by segment]	156
<i>free traffic data</i>	156
<i>plan-ready facts and interactive values</i>	156
<i>demonstration of value of plan-ready</i>	157
[suitability criteria and values]	157
PART IV: THE ESSENCE OF CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	159
A TALE OF TWO CITIES: STRUCTURES AND ACTIVITIES.....	160
<i>structures</i>	160
<i>activities</i>	160
PREMISES OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	162
<i>single-use, disposable information</i>	162
<i>failure to record change</i>	162
<i>loss of tax revenue</i>	162
<i>missed opportunities</i>	163
<i>added informational value</i>	163
<i>information-aware modus operandi</i>	163
<i>maintenance with a plus</i>	163
<i>informational return</i>	163
<i>office-wide information assessment</i>	163
<i>technical and organizational issues</i>	163
<i>actions to bring about City Knowledge</i>	164
<i>information as an infrastructure</i>	164
<i>a paradigm shift</i>	164
OBSTACLES TO CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	165
THE COST OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	166
<i>lower cost of computerization</i>	166
<i>creatively catching up with the backlog</i>	166

<i>information-conscious job descriptions</i>	167
<i>maintenance-based data collection</i>	167
<i>free or inexpensive labor</i>	167
<i>contractual obligations</i>	168
<i>low-hanging fruits</i>	168
<i>budgeting for city knowledge</i>	168
<i>the real cost of city knowledge</i>	169
<i>the cost of knowledge maintenance</i>	169
<i>self-reported updates</i>	169
<i>administrative updates</i>	170
DISCONNECTED DATASETS	170
<i>relational databases vs. flat-files</i>	170
<i>disconnected vs. distributed</i>	170
<i>organizational disconnects</i>	171
VAGUE SPATIAL REFERENCES	171
<i>GIS and spatial references</i>	172
<i>space as the glue for the urban puzzle</i>	172
ASYNCHRONOUS CO-DEPENDENCE	172
<i>top-down dependencies</i>	172
<i>bottom-up independencies</i>	173
<i>the interconnectedness of a webbed world</i>	173
<i>middle-out to the rescue</i>	173
<i>CK on the information superhighway</i>	173
TECHNOLOGICAL OBSOLESCENCE	174
<i>growing pains</i>	174
<i>getting burnt by vaporware</i>	174
<i>future evolution through migrations</i>	174
RESISTANCE TO CHANGE	175
QUALITIES OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	177
AFFORDABLE	177
<i>lower transaction costs</i>	177
EASY-TO-ASSEMBLE	178
<i>uniform characteristics</i>	178
GRADUAL	178
[i]better late than never[.]	179
SYSTEMATIC	180
PERMANENT	180
<i>permanent vs. dynamic datasets</i>	180
EXHAUSTIVE	181
<i>informational equity</i>	181
SUSTAINABLE	181
<i>informational capital</i>	182
UP-TO-DATE	182
<i>updating information about "structures"</i>	182
[transactions]	182
<i>updating information about "conditions"</i>	182
[citizen complaints]	183
[transactional snapshots]	183
<i>updating information about "activities"</i>	183
[snapshots]	183

[automatic devices].....	183
[administrative proxies].....	183
[grain and privacy].....	184
RICH.....	184
<i>horizontal sharing</i>	184
<i>vertical aggregation</i>	184
<i>fortuitous vs. intuitive vs. teleologic</i>	184
<i>limited “face time”</i>	185
[maintenance-based updates].....	185
RELIABLE.....	185
<i>continual reliability</i>	185
<i>reliable sources</i>	185
[data quality].....	185
[reliable or liable].....	185
<i>departmental certification</i>	185
[implicit quality control].....	186
FLEXIBLE.....	186
<i>rich and flexible</i>	186
<i>standard and flexible</i>	186
<i>predictable flexibility</i>	186
<i>atomized flexibility</i>	186
[reconfigurability].....	186
<i>atomized resilience</i>	186
<i>deconstructing city knowledge</i>	187
[fine grained = adaptable].....	187
[atomizing is more flexible <u>and</u> cheaper].....	187
[visual inspection rubrics].....	187
[non-spatial deconstruction].....	187
REUSABLE.....	187
<i>units of measurement</i>	188
<i>units of analysis</i>	188
SHARABLE.....	188
<i>intra-departmental sharing</i>	188
<i>longitudinal legacy sharing</i>	188
[the framework for sharing].....	189
<i>inter-departmental sharing</i>	189
[paths of least resistance].....	189
[low hanging fruits].....	189
<i>sharing beyond the firewall</i>	189
[neighborhood data].....	189
[sharing with academia].....	189
<i>emergent system</i>	189
SECURE.....	190
[homeland security].....	190
[dig-safe].....	190
[passwords, certificates and authentications].....	190
<i>knowledge and safety</i>	190
<i>privacy</i>	191
[gradual development path].....	191
<i>onward</i>	191
FOUNDATIONS OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	192
<i>information-conscious modus operandi</i>	192

[gradual building of foundations]	192
[conjectural hypotheses]	192
[no City Knowledge systems in existence]	192
[obstacles]	192
[where City Knowledge will <u>not</u> work]	193
[pioneering department]	193
<i>spreading city knowledge</i>	194
[intercept change]	194
[capture backlog]	194
[spontaneous coordination]	194
[full-fledged emergent system]	194
[institutional sharing]	194
<i>the rest of this chapter</i>	194
[empirical basis]	194
[similar to other efforts?]	194
[different/better?]	194
[change what and how?]	194
[ensemble not proven]	195
[individual aspect is proven]	195
[diffusion and implementation]	195
[MIS and GIS theories]	195
[the middle-out recipe]	195
THE “MIDDLE-OUT” APPROACH	196
<i>bottom-up enlightened self-interest</i>	196
<i>top-down teleological coordination</i>	196
<i>critique of top-down and bottom-up</i>	196
[shortcomings of top-down]	196
[shortcomings of bottom-up]	197
<i>a pragmatic hybrid compromise</i>	197
<i>pseudo- and proto- middle-out</i>	197
<i>horizontalizing growth from the middle</i>	198
[“slices” of City Knowledge]	198
[City Knowledge as a “compendium”]	198
<i>the tenets of middle-out</i>	198
<i>what’s new about middle-out</i>	199
[empirical basis]	199
[similar to other efforts?]	199
[different/better?]	200
[change what and how?]	200
INFORMATIONAL JURISDICTIONS	201
<i>jurisdiction over structures and activities</i>	201
<i>birth and death certification</i>	201
[parental authority]	201
<i>naming and coding</i>	201
[bureaucratic acts accompany actions]	201
[administrative existence]	201
<i>birthrights</i>	201
<i>deathrights</i>	202
[birth certificate]	202
[death certificates]	202
[jurisdiction over birth]	202
[jurisdiction over death]	202
[parent department]	202
[life-cycle tracking]	202

<i>jurisdiction over activities</i>	202
[relational linking]	203
[open-code doctrine].....	203
[read, write and modify permissions]	203
[“field-level” permissions].....	203
<i>what’s new about these jurisdictions</i>	203
[empirical basis]	204
[similar to other efforts?]	204
[different/better?].....	204
[change what and how?]	205
ATOMIC DISTRIBUTED KNOWLEDGE	206
<i>capturing fine-grain at the frontline</i>	206
<i>micromanage local piecemeal change</i>	206
[gradually accrue data]	206
<i>iteratively maximize informational returns</i>	206
[minimize cost].....	206
[maximize human resources].....	207
<i>what’s new about atomic distributed data</i>	207
[empirical basis]	207
[similar to other efforts?].....	207
[different/better?].....	208
[change what and how?]	208
[perpetual updates]	209
SUSTAINABLE UPDATES	210
<i>intercepting administrative transactions</i>	210
[contractual obligations and bids].....	211
<i>maintenance-based updates</i>	211
<i>information-conscious job descriptions</i>	211
<i>free or inexpensive labor</i>	211
<i>budgeted updates</i>	211
<i>what’s new about sustainable updates</i>	211
[empirical basis]	211
[similar to other efforts?].....	212
[different/better?].....	212
[change what and how?]	213
INFORMATION SHARING	214
<i>voluntary sharing</i>	214
<i>institutional sharing</i>	214
<i>mandatory sharing of public records</i>	214
[inter-departmental sharing]	214
[intra-departmental sharing]	214
<i>extra-mural sharing</i>	215
[two-way sharing].....	215
[informational <i>quid pro quos</i>].....	215
[asynchronous alerts].....	215
[sharing with public-private companies].....	215
[sharing with contractors]	215
<i>from data to information</i>	216
[plan-ready information].....	216
[sharing with the public].....	216
[horizontal and vertical sharing]	216
[the technology of sharing].....	216
<i>what’s new about our information sharing</i>	217
[empirical basis]	217

[similar to other efforts?]	217
[different/better?]	217
[change what and how?]	217
INTERAGENCY COORDINATION	219
[memoranda of understanding]	219
[guidelines and by-laws]	219
<i>reference codes</i>	219
[legacy codes]	220
[parent department]	220
[code adoption]	220
[ancillary multimedia documentation]	220
[codes as file names]	220
[syntax]	221
[algorithmic code generation]	221
[automatic codes]	222
[“talking” vs. “dumb” codes]	222
[code semantics]	222
[numeric IDs]	222
<i>formats</i>	222
<i>methods</i>	223
<i>databases</i>	223
<i>GIS layers</i>	223
[extramural and vertical sharing]	223
<i>metadata</i>	223
<i>software applications</i>	223
<i>network access</i>	223
<i>what’s new about interagency coordination</i>	224
[empirical basis]	224
[similar to other efforts?]	225
[different/better?]	225
[change what and how?]	226
PART V: THE EMERGENCE OF CITY KNOWLEDGE	227
CITY KNOWLEDGE AS AN EMERGENT SYSTEM	228
[improving benefits-to-cost ratio]	228
[high-resolution, fine-grained data]	228
[lower costs]	228
[second-order benefits]	228
[ripe technologies]	228
<i>the emergent nature of City Knowledge</i>	229
<i>analyzing emergence</i>	229
<i>creating emergence</i>	229
CREATING CITY KNOWLEDGE FROM THE MIDDLE OUT	230
COMMIT TO CITY KNOWLEDGE	231
<i>top-down</i>	231
<i>bottom-up</i>	231
<i>middle-out</i>	232
IDENTIFY JURISDICTIONS	232
PICK LOW-HANGING FRUITS	234
ATOMIZE AND CONQUER	235
<i>atomic grain size</i>	235
[spatial grain]	235

[attribute grain].....	235
[attribute enrichment]	235
<i>locus of control</i>	236
[collect fine-grained data within jurisdictions]	236
[sustainably maintain data at frontline]	236
[adopt standards and methods]	236
[share to leverage value-added benefits].....	236
<i>data collection</i>	236
<i>catching-up with the backlog</i>	236
[commitment to city knowledge].....	236
<i>paying for the backlog</i>	236
[no-cost solutions]	236
[free = self-reporting]	236
[free = required information returns]	236
[free = secondary sources].....	237
[free = volunteers or students]	237
[low cost= maintenance-based data collection]	237
[low cost = contractual obligations].....	237
[low cost = in-house]	237
[market cost = consultants].....	238
[information budget].....	238
UPDATE SUSTAINABLY	239
<i>automating and informing</i>	239
[capturing transactions]	239
[update or die].....	239
[experiment with innovative updates].....	239
SHARE APPROPRIATELY	240
[share to foster emergence].....	240
[share to avoid duplication]	240
COORDINATE AS NEEDED	241
[grow into coordination]	241
[coordinate spontaneously].....	241
A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE FOR CITY KNOWLEDGE.....	242
<i>the tipping point of City Knowledge</i>	242
<i>value-added benefits for high-order users</i>	242
<i>value for front-line users</i>	242
<i>middle out approach</i>	243
<i>cost vs. benefit</i>	243
<i>toward city knowledge</i>	243
<i>the future as a possibility</i>	243
WORKS CITED	245