## EXAM REVIEW: EXERCISE

**Example.** Consider the sequence

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} = \left(1, 2 + \frac{1}{k}, \frac{3}{k^3}, e^{-k}\sin k\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^4.$$

Find the limit of  $\{x^{(k)}\}$  in  $l^{\infty}$ -norm and  $l^2$ -norm respectively.

**Solution.**  $l^{\infty}$ -norm is straightforward. Theorem 1 tells us that the  $l^{\infty}$  limit of a sequence, if exists, is the limit of individual components. Thus,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} 1 = 1;$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} 2 + \frac{1}{k} = 2;$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{3}{k^2} = 0;$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} e^{-k} \sin(k) = 0,$$

and therefore,  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$  converges to  $(1, 2, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$  with respect to the  $l^{\infty}$ -norm.

To show that  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$  converges to the same limit in  $l^2$ , we use Theorem 2 and the definition of convergence. Since  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} \to \boldsymbol{x} = (1, 2, 0, 0)^{\mathrm{T}}$  in  $l^{\infty}$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can furnish an integer  $N(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

whenever  $k \ge N(\epsilon)$ . By the equivalent theorem (Theorem 2), for exactly the same  $N(\epsilon)$ , we have

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{2} \stackrel{\text{Theorem 2 for } n=4}{\leq} \sqrt{4} \left\| \boldsymbol{x}^{(k)} - \boldsymbol{x} \right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = \epsilon$$

for  $k \geq N(\epsilon)$ . Thus  $\boldsymbol{x}^{(k)}$  also converges to  $\boldsymbol{x}$  in  $l^2$ -norm.

## Spectral Radius

## **Definition.** The spectral radius $\rho(A)$ of a matrix A defined by

$$\rho\left(A\right) = \max\left|\lambda\right|$$

where  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of A. If  $\lambda$  is complex, i.e.,  $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$ , define

$$|\lambda| = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}.$$

As it turns out, the spectral radius can be linked to the matrix norm induced by  $l^2$ -norm.

**Theorem.** If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then

- (1)  $||A||_2 = \left[\rho\left(A^{\mathrm{T}}A\right)\right]^{1/2}$ , (2)  $\rho\left(A\right) \le ||A||$ , for any induced norm  $||\cdot||$  (also known as natural norm).

Let us use the first formula to compute the 2-norm of a matrix.

**Example.** Determine the  $l^2$ -norm of

$$A = \left[ \begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

**Solution.** We need  $\rho(A^{\mathrm{T}}A)$ . But first, we compute  $A^{\mathrm{T}}A$ .

$$A^{\mathrm{T}}A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 6 & 4 \\ -1 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = B.$$

Then, we find the eigenvalues of B.

$$\det (B - \lambda I) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 3 - \lambda & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 6 - \lambda & 4 \\ -1 & 4 & 5 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= -\lambda^3 + 14\lambda^2 - 42\lambda$$
$$= -\lambda \left(\lambda^2 - 14\lambda + 42\right).$$

Thus,  $\lambda = 0$ , or  $\lambda = 7 \pm \sqrt{7}$ .

$$||A||_2 = \sqrt{\rho(A^{\mathrm{T}}A)} = \sqrt{\max\left\{0, 7 - \sqrt{7}, 7 + \sqrt{7}\right\}} = \sqrt{7 + \sqrt{7}}$$

 $\|A\|_{\infty}$ 

We first provide a formula for the  $l^{\infty}$ -norm of a matrix, as it comes in quite handy when we need to compute any induced matrix norms.

**Theorem.** Let A be an  $n \times n$  square matrix. Then,

$$\|A\|_{\infty} \stackrel{definition}{=} \max_{\|\boldsymbol{x}\| \neq 0} \|A\boldsymbol{x}\| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|,$$

namely, the maximum row sum of absolute values of the entries.

*Proof.* See Theorem 7.11 in textbook. The proof involves establishing  $||A||_{\infty} \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|$  and  $||A||_{\infty} \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|$ .

CONDITION NUMBER OF A MATRIX (SECTION 7.5)

With a formula to compute  $||A||_2$  via the spectral radius, we can then define the condition number of a matrix  $\kappa(A)$ . Before that, we consider a simple system of equations to showcase the importance of knowing the condition numbers, before we use the matrix to solve any problems.

**Example.** Consider the linear system Ax = b given by

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc}1&2\\1.0001&2\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}x_1\\x_2\end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c}3\\3.0001\end{array}\right]$$

has the uniques solution  $\boldsymbol{x} = (1,1)^{\mathrm{T}}$ . Determine the residual vector for the poor approximation  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = (3,-0.0001)^{\mathrm{T}}$ , define as  $\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{b} - A\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ .

Solution. We have

$$\boldsymbol{r} = \boldsymbol{b} - A\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 3.0001 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\\ 1.0001 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ -0.0001 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0002\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and observe that  $\|\boldsymbol{r}\|_{\infty} = 0.0002$ , which is reasonably small. However, obviously the approximation is very poor,

$$\|\boldsymbol{x} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|_{\infty} = 2.$$

In the previous example, we see  $\|\boldsymbol{r}\|_{\infty} = \|A\boldsymbol{x} - A\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|_{\infty}$  as the "perturbation to input", while  $\|\boldsymbol{x} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|_{\infty}$  as perturbation to output. So, if we can somehow relate them, we have an estimate of the "condition number" of the matrix A.

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\tilde{x}$  is an approximation to the solution of Ax = b, where A is nonsingular, and r is the residual vector for  $\tilde{x}$ . Then, for any induced norm, for  $x \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} \le \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \frac{\|\boldsymbol{r}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|}.$$

*Remark.* We skip the proof (See Theorem 7.27). However, this important result leads us to the definition of the condition number of a matrix  $\kappa(A)$ . Note that the LHS  $\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|}$  is **relative error in output** (solution), and the RHS is

$$\frac{\|\boldsymbol{r}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|} = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{b} - A\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{b}\|}$$

can be viewed as a relative error in input. So, we may define the relative condition number of A as

$$\kappa\left(A\right) = \left\|A\right\| \left\|A^{-1}\right\|$$

By a property of the matrix norm (sub-multiplicativity), we have

$$\kappa(A) = ||A|| ||A^{-1}|| \ge ||AA^{-1}|| = ||I|| = 1.$$

We say that a matrix is well-condition if  $\kappa(A)$  is close to 1, and is ill-conditioned when  $\kappa(A)$  is significantly greater than 1.

**Example.** Determine the condition number of the matrix in the last example.

**Solution.** We are allowed to use any induced norm, so let's do the easiest one,  $||A||_{\infty}$ .

$$||A||_{\infty} = \max\{|1| + |2|, |1.0001| + |2|\} = 3.0001$$

which is not too big. However,

$$A^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} -10000 & 10000 \\ 5000.5 & -5000 \end{array} \right]$$

and thus

$$||A^{-1}||_{\infty} = 20000.$$