## Rollercoasters HiMCM Practice

Thomas Giolas, Andrew Brown, Jianna Bixho

## Problem Statement

Current rollercoaster rating/ranking systems are extremely subjective as they are based on the opinions of those rating them, and their preferences.

This means that roller coaster rating/ranking systems are not objectively helpful because they cannot appeal to the majority of people.



Obtained from https://media.cnn.com/api/v1/images/stellar/prod/1907051539 28-millennium-force-rollercoaster-004.jpg?q=w\_1110,c\_fill

#### Problem Statement cont.

- We were given the task of creating an objective and quantitative algorithm for ranking roller coasters based on data about the coasters themselves.
- We were then asked to create a list of the top ten roller coasters in the world using our algorithm.
- In this problem, we only considered operating roller coasters that are not family, kiddie, bobsled, or mountain-type, as those roller coaster would not have the data used

# Assumptions

- The top ten roller coasters are the coasters that are the most enjoyable to the greatest number of parkgoers
- The average values in this dataset display the roller coaster that achieves this goal
- Due to time constraints the dataset was reduced to include just roller coasters in North America
- Geographical location should not be taken into account
- Duration of the ride was not taken into account due to time constraints, and issues with Excel
- Whether or not a roller coaster has inversions or not is not necessary, and the number of inversions variable completes the same function



#### Variables

- Height (ft)
- Speed (mph)
- Length (ft)
- Number of Inversions
- Drop (ft)
- G-force
- Vertical angle



## Approach

- Given that we are taking a utilitarian approach, we can only use objective data from the dataset and outside sources (no rider reviews)
- The roller coaster closest to the average in the dataset will be the most enjoyable to the most people
  - Not too tall/not tall enough
  - Not too fast/not fast enough
  - Etcetera



### Method/Algorithm

- 1. Take the average value across all 174 roller coasters for each of our variables
- 2. Find the standard deviation across all 174 roller coasters for each of our variables
- 3. Calculate the z-score for each variable in each roller coaster, leaving values blank if the variable does not exist in the dataset
- 4. Calculate the average z-score for each roller coaster and sort the roller coasters from lowest to highest average z-score
- 5. The roller with the smallest z-score is the objective best roller coaster



## Solution



Obtained from <a href="https://guidetosfot.com/wp/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/doubleloops.jpg">https://guidetosfot.com/wp/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/doubleloops.jpg</a>

Shock Wave	0.1583952
Patriot	0.283765
Wicked Cyclone	0.3149679
Manta	0.3399527
Hades 360	0.3545185
Joker	0.3578813
Outlaw Run	0.3799016
Apocalypse	0.3890371
Gemini	0.3996956
Full Throttle	0.4049608

#### Future Work

- Including duration as part of the calculation
- Recalculating average values using coasters across the world
- Filling in blank data with online data where possible
- Compare our rating systems to other systems used to look for similarities and differences
- We would create an app using our ranking system to take in user input to adjust the results (i.e. intensity, inversions y/n, max G-force, etcetera)



#### **Questions?**

#### Citations

- Marden, D., Callen, D., Sailors, D., Canfield, V., Engelen, R., Heiman, R., Marden, D., Munch, R. W., Pantenburg, M., Sakowski, E., Scheinin, L., Marr, M., Garvanovic, J., Valt, M., Michelson, H. L., & Bannister, R. (2024). *Random Roller coaster*. Roller Coaster DataBase. <u>https://www.rcdb.com/</u>
- Gieszel, E. (1996). *Ultimate Rollercoaster*. Ultimate Rollercoaster-Roller Coasters, Theme Parks & Thrill Rides. <u>https://www.ultimaterollercoaster.com/</u>
- Coasterpedia the roller coaster wiki. Coasterpedia. (2009). https://coasterpedia.net/